

Mod p points on Shimura varieties of parahoric level

Pol van Hoften

King's College London

January 27, 2021

Structure of the talk

Structure of the talk

Introduction to the Langlands-Rapoport conjecture and a quick survey of previous work.

Structure of the talk

Introduction to the Langlands-Rapoport conjecture and a quick survey of previous work.

Statement of the main results.

Structure of the talk

Introduction to the Langlands-Rapoport conjecture and a quick survey of previous work.

Statement of the main results.

Idea of the proof.

The Langlands-Rapoport conjecture I

The Langlands-Rapoport conjecture I

Langlands and Rapoport conjectured the existence of integral models of Shimura varieties with good properties.

The Langlands-Rapoport conjecture I

Langlands and Rapoport conjectured the existence of integral models of Shimura varieties with good properties.

For example the modular curve $Y_0(N)$ has a smooth integral model over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ for $p \nmid N$, using the moduli interpretation in terms of families of elliptic curves.

The Langlands-Rapoport conjecture I

Langlands and Rapoport conjectured the existence of integral models of Shimura varieties with good properties.

For example the modular curve $Y_0(N)$ has a smooth integral model over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ for $p \nmid N$, using the moduli interpretation in terms of families of elliptic curves.

The modular curve $Y_0(Np)$ also has an integral model over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ with $p \nmid N$, but it is no longer smooth.

The Langlands-Rapoport conjecture I

Langlands and Rapoport conjectured the existence of integral models of Shimura varieties with good properties.

For example the modular curve $Y_0(N)$ has a smooth integral model over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ for $p \nmid N$, using the moduli interpretation in terms of families of elliptic curves.

The modular curve $Y_0(Np)$ also has an integral model over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ with $p \nmid N$, but it is no longer smooth.

Understanding these integral models has interesting applications, e.g. construction of Galois representations (Deligne, Langlands), Ribet's proof of the ϵ -conjecture.

The Langlands-Rapoport conjecture for the modular curve I

The Langlands-Rapoport conjecture for the modular curve I

The Langlands-Rapoport conjecture gives a description of $Y_0(Np)(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$

The Langlands-Rapoport conjecture for the modular curve I

The Langlands-Rapoport conjecture gives a description of $Y_0(Np)(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ in two steps.

First we divide elliptic curves into isogeny classes \mathcal{I}_ϕ ,

The Langlands-Rapoport conjecture for the modular curve I

The Langlands-Rapoport conjecture gives a description of $Y_0(Np)(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ in two steps.

First we divide elliptic curves into isogeny classes \mathcal{I}_ϕ , and use Honda-Tate theory to classify the isogeny classes ϕ .

The Langlands-Rapoport conjecture for the modular curve I

The Langlands-Rapoport conjecture gives a description of $Y_0(Np)(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ in two steps.

First we divide elliptic curves into isogeny classes \mathcal{I}_ϕ , and use Honda-Tate theory to classify the isogeny classes ϕ .

Then, we describe elliptic curves lying in a single isogeny class \mathcal{I}_ϕ using (semi) linear algebra.

If we fix $E_0 \in \mathcal{I}_\phi$ and $E \sim E_0$ via a prime-to- p isogeny, then E corresponds to a $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^p$ -lattice in $T^p E_0 \otimes \mathbb{A}_f^p$. If $E \sim E_0$ via a p -power isogeny, then E corresponds to a Dieudonné-lattice in the p -adic Dieudonné-module of E_0 .

The Langlands-Rapoport conjecture for the modular curve II

The Langlands-Rapoport conjecture for the modular curve II

Define

$$X^p(\phi) = \{\text{Lattices in } T^p E_0\}$$

$$X_p(\phi) = \{\text{Dieudonné lattices in } T_p E_0\}.$$

Then \mathcal{I}_ϕ is almost equal to their product. Precisely

$$\mathcal{I}_\phi \simeq I_\phi(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash X_p(\phi) \times X^p(\phi) / K^p, \quad (1)$$

where I_ϕ is the automorphism group of E_0 , up to isogeny.

The Langlands-Rapoport conjecture for the modular curve II

Define

$$X^p(\phi) = \{\text{Lattices in } T^p E_0\}$$

$$X_p(\phi) = \{\text{Dieudonné lattices in } T_p E_0\}.$$

The Langlands-Rapoport conjecture for the modular curve II

Define

$$X^p(\phi) = \{\text{Lattices in } T^p E_0\}$$

$$X_p(\phi) = \{\text{Dieudonné lattices in } T_p E_0\}.$$

Then \mathcal{I}_ϕ is almost equal to their product.

The Langlands-Rapoport conjecture for the modular curve II

Define

$$X^p(\phi) = \{\text{Lattices in } T^p E_0\}$$

$$X_p(\phi) = \{\text{Dieudonné lattices in } T_p E_0\}.$$

Then \mathcal{I}_ϕ is almost equal to their product. Precisely

$$\mathcal{I}_\phi \simeq I_\phi(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash X_p(\phi) \times X^p(\phi) / K^p, \quad (1)$$

The Langlands-Rapoport conjecture for the modular curve II

Define

$$X^p(\phi) = \{\text{Lattices in } T^p E_0\}$$

$$X_p(\phi) = \{\text{Dieudonné lattices in } T_p E_0\}.$$

Then \mathcal{I}_ϕ is almost equal to their product. Precisely

$$\mathcal{I}_\phi \simeq I_\phi(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash X_p(\phi) \times X^p(\phi) / K^p, \quad (1)$$

where I_ϕ is the automorphism group of E_0 , up to isogeny.

The Langlands-Rapoport conjecture II

The Langlands-Rapoport conjecture II

Let (G, X) be a Shimura datum, i.e., G is a reductive group over \mathbb{Q} and X is a Hermitian symmetric domain with an action of $G(\mathbb{R})$.

The Langlands-Rapoport conjecture II

Let (G, X) be a Shimura datum, i.e., G is a reductive group over \mathbb{Q} and X is a Hermitian symmetric domain with an action of $G(\mathbb{R})$.

Let p be a prime number, $K^p \subset G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ be a compact open subgroup and $K_p \subset G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ a parahoric subgroup and let $K = K^p K_p \subset G(\mathbb{A}_f)$.

The Langlands-Rapoport conjecture II

Let (G, X) be a Shimura datum, i.e., G is a reductive group over \mathbb{Q} and X is a Hermitian symmetric domain with an action of $G(\mathbb{R})$.

Let p be a prime number, $K^p \subset G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ be a compact open subgroup and $K_p \subset G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ a parahoric subgroup and let $K = K^p K_p \subset G(\mathbb{A}_f)$.

Let $\mathrm{Sh}_K(G, X)$ be the associated Shimura variety, which is an algebraic variety over a number field E , the reflex field.

The Langlands-Rapoport conjecture II

Let (G, X) be a Shimura datum, i.e., G is a reductive group over \mathbb{Q} and X is a Hermitian symmetric domain with an action of $G(\mathbb{R})$.

Let p be a prime number, $K^p \subset G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ be a compact open subgroup and $K_p \subset G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ a parahoric subgroup and let $K = K^p K_p \subset G(\mathbb{A}_f)$.

Let $\mathrm{Sh}_K(G, X)$ be the associated Shimura variety, which is an algebraic variety over a number field E , the reflex field.

If $v \mid p$ is a place of E , then the conjecture predicts that there should be a 'canonical' integral model $S_K(G, X)$ over $\mathcal{O}_{E(v)}$.

The Langlands-Rapoport conjecture II

Let (G, X) be a Shimura datum, i.e., G is a reductive group over \mathbb{Q} and X is a Hermitian symmetric domain with an action of $G(\mathbb{R})$.

Let p be a prime number, $K^p \subset G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ be a compact open subgroup and $K_p \subset G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ a parahoric subgroup and let $K = K^p K_p \subset G(\mathbb{A}_f)$.

Let $\mathrm{Sh}_K(G, X)$ be the associated Shimura variety, which is an algebraic variety over a number field E , the reflex field.

If $v \mid p$ is a place of E , then the conjecture predicts that there should be a 'canonical' integral model $S_K(G, X)$ over $\mathcal{O}_{E(v)}$.

For example $G = \mathrm{GL}_2$, $X = \mathbb{H}^\pm$ and $K_p = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ or $K_p = \Gamma_0(p)$, then $E = \mathbb{Q}$ and the integral models from the previous slide are 'canonical'.

The Langlands-Rapoport conjecture III

The Langlands-Rapoport conjecture III

The conjecture then predicts that there is a partition into 'isogeny classes'

The Langlands-Rapoport conjecture III

The conjecture then predicts that there is a partition into 'isogeny classes'

$$S_K(G, X)(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \simeq \coprod_{\phi} \mathcal{I}_{\phi},$$

The Langlands-Rapoport conjecture III

The conjecture then predicts that there is a partition into 'isogeny classes'

$$S_K(G, X)(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \simeq \coprod_{\phi} \mathcal{I}_{\phi},$$

compatible with the action of prime to p Hecke operators.

The Langlands-Rapoport conjecture III

The conjecture then predicts that there is a partition into 'isogeny classes'

$$S_K(G, X)(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \simeq \coprod_{\phi} \mathcal{I}_{\phi},$$

compatible with the action of prime to p Hecke operators.

Moreover, the isogeny classes have the following description (Rapoport-Zink uniformisation)

The Langlands-Rapoport conjecture III

The conjecture then predicts that there is a partition into 'isogeny classes'

$$S_K(G, X)(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \simeq \coprod_{\phi} \mathcal{I}_{\phi},$$

compatible with the action of prime to p Hecke operators.

Moreover, the isogeny classes have the following description (Rapoport-Zink uniformisation)

$$\mathcal{I}_{\phi} \simeq I_{\phi}(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash X_p(\phi) \times X^p(\phi) / K^p$$

The Langlands-Rapoport conjecture III

The conjecture then predicts that there is a partition into 'isogeny classes'

$$S_K(G, X)(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \simeq \coprod_{\phi} \mathcal{I}_{\phi},$$

compatible with the action of prime to p Hecke operators.

Moreover, the isogeny classes have the following description (Rapoport-Zink uniformisation)

$$\mathcal{I}_{\phi} \simeq I_{\phi}(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash X_p(\phi) \times X^p(\phi) / K^p$$

Here $X_p(\phi)$ parametrises p -power isogenies,

The Langlands-Rapoport conjecture III

The conjecture then predicts that there is a partition into 'isogeny classes'

$$S_K(G, X)(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \simeq \coprod_{\phi} \mathcal{I}_{\phi},$$

compatible with the action of prime to p Hecke operators.

Moreover, the isogeny classes have the following description (Rapoport-Zink uniformisation)

$$\mathcal{I}_{\phi} \simeq I_{\phi}(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash X_p(\phi) \times X^p(\phi) / K^p$$

Here $X_p(\phi)$ parametrises p -power isogenies, $X^p(\phi)$ parametrises prime-to- p isogenies and $I_{\phi}(\mathbb{Q})$ is the group of self quasi-isogenies.

Previous Work

Previous Work

Kottwitz (1992) proved closely related results for PEL type Shimura varieties of type A and C, at primes $p > 2$ with K_p hyperspecial.

Previous Work

Kottwitz (1992) proved closely related results for PEL type Shimura varieties of type A and C, at primes $p > 2$ with K_p hyperspecial.

Theorem (Kisin, 2008 and 2013)

Let (G, X) be a Shimura datum of abelian type, let $p > 2$ and suppose that $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is unramified and that K_p is hyperspecial. Then the Langlands-Rapoport conjecture holds for (G, X, p) .*

Previous Work

Kottwitz (1992) proved closely related results for PEL type Shimura varieties of type A and C, at primes $p > 2$ with K_p hyperspecial.

Theorem (Kisin, 2008 and 2013)

Let (G, X) be a Shimura datum of abelian type, let $p > 2$ and suppose that $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is unramified and that K_p is hyperspecial. Then the Langlands-Rapoport conjecture holds for (G, X, p) .*

Theorem (Kisin-Pappas, 2015)

Canonical integral models exist for (G, X) of abelian type and K_p parahoric.

Previous Work

Kottwitz (1992) proved closely related results for PEL type Shimura varieties of type A and C, at primes $p > 2$ with K_p hyperspecial.

Theorem (Kisin, 2008 and 2013)

Let (G, X) be a Shimura datum of abelian type, let $p > 2$ and suppose that $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is unramified and that K_p is hyperspecial. Then the Langlands-Rapoport conjecture holds for (G, X, p) .*

Theorem (Kisin-Pappas, 2015)

Canonical integral models exist for (G, X) of abelian type and K_p parahoric.

Theorem (Zhou, 2017)

Let (G, X) be a Shimura datum of Hodge type, let $p > 2$ and suppose that $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is residually split, then isogeny classes have Rapoport-Zink uniformisation for arbitrary parahorics K_p .

Main Results I

Let (G, X) be a Shimura datum of abelian type, let $p > 2$ and suppose that $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is quasi-split and unramified.

Main Results I

Let (G, X) be a Shimura datum of abelian type, let $p > 2$ and suppose that $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is quasi-split and unramified. Let $K^p \subset G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ be compact open and let $K_p \subset G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ be a parahoric subgroup.

Main Results I

Let (G, X) be a Shimura datum of abelian type, let $p > 2$ and suppose that $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is quasi-split and unramified. Let $K^p \subset G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ be compact open and let $K_p \subset G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ be a parahoric subgroup.

Theorem 1 (-)

The Langlands-Rapoport conjecture holds for the Kisin-Pappas integral models of $\mathrm{Sh}_K(G, X)$.*

Main Results I

Let (G, X) be a Shimura datum of abelian type, let $p > 2$ and suppose that $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is quasi-split and unramified. Let $K^p \subset G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ be compact open and let $K_p \subset G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ be a parahoric subgroup.

Theorem 1 (-)

The Langlands-Rapoport conjecture holds for the Kisin-Pappas integral models of $\mathrm{Sh}_K(G, X)$.*

Remark

Main Results I

Let (G, X) be a Shimura datum of abelian type, let $p > 2$ and suppose that $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is quasi-split and unramified. Let $K^p \subset G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ be compact open and let $K_p \subset G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ be a parahoric subgroup.

Theorem 1 (-)

The Langlands-Rapoport conjecture holds for the Kisin-Pappas integral models of $\mathrm{Sh}_K(G, X)$.*

Remark

We can also prove Theorem 1 when $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is quasi-split and tamely ramified, under the assumption that $\mathrm{Sh}_K(G, X)$ is proper.

Idea of the proof I

Idea of the proof I

Since we know the results at hyperspecial level, it suffices to understand the fibers of the forgetful map.

Idea of the proof I

Since we know the results at hyperspecial level, it suffices to understand the fibers of the forgetful map. When $G = \mathrm{GL}_2$, then the forgetful map has the following description:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_0(Np) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \{(E, \alpha_N, H \subset E[p])\} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y_0(N) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \{(E, \alpha_N)\} \end{array} \quad (2)$$

Idea of the proof I

Since we know the results at hyperspecial level, it suffices to understand the fibers of the forgetful map. When $G = \mathrm{GL}_2$, then the forgetful map has the following description:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_0(Np) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \{(E, \alpha_N, H \subset E[p])\} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y_0(N) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \{(E, \alpha_N)\} \end{array} \quad (2)$$

Here E is an elliptic curve, α_N is a $\Gamma_0(N)$ level structure and $H \subset E[p]$ is a subgroup of order p .

Idea of the proof I

Since we know the results at hyperspecial level, it suffices to understand the fibers of the forgetful map. When $G = \mathrm{GL}_2$, then the forgetful map has the following description:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_0(Np) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \{(E, \alpha_N, H \subset E[p])\} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y_0(N) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \{(E, \alpha_N)\} \end{array} \quad (2)$$

Here E is an elliptic curve, α_N is a $\Gamma_0(N)$ level structure and $H \subset E[p]$ is a subgroup of order p . An elliptic curve over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ has either one or two choices for H , depending on whether it is supersingular or ordinary.

Idea of the proof I

Since we know the results at hyperspecial level, it suffices to understand the fibers of the forgetful map. When $G = \mathrm{GL}_2$, then the forgetful map has the following description:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_0(Np) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \{(E, \alpha_N, H \subset E[p])\} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y_0(N) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \{(E, \alpha_N)\} \end{array} \quad (2)$$

Here E is an elliptic curve, α_N is a $\Gamma_0(N)$ level structure and $H \subset E[p]$ is a subgroup of order p . An elliptic curve over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ has either one or two choices for H , depending on whether it is supersingular or ordinary. We observe that the fiber only depends on the p -divisible group $E[p^\infty]$

Idea of the proof II

Idea of the proof II

For moduli spaces of abelian varieties with extra structures, these fibers are more complicated and usually not finite, for example the fibers can be projective lines.

Idea of the proof II

For moduli spaces of abelian varieties with extra structures, these fibers are more complicated and usually not finite, for example the fibers can be projective lines. However, it is still true that the fibers only depend on the p -divisible group with extra structures.

Idea of the proof II

For moduli spaces of abelian varieties with extra structures, these fibers are more complicated and usually not finite, for example the fibers can be projective lines. However, it is still true that the fibers only depend on the p -divisible group with extra structures. This means that we can use Dieudonné theory to understand the fibers.

Idea of the proof II

For moduli spaces of abelian varieties with extra structures, these fibers are more complicated and usually not finite, for example the fibers can be projective lines. However, it is still true that the fibers only depend on the p -divisible group with extra structures. This means that we can use Dieudonné theory to understand the fibers.

For Hodge type Shimura varieties, the integral models do not have a moduli interpretation, which makes it difficult to make the above strategy work.

Idea of the proof II

For moduli spaces of abelian varieties with extra structures, these fibers are more complicated and usually not finite, for example the fibers can be projective lines. However, it is still true that the fibers only depend on the p -divisible group with extra structures. This means that we can use Dieudonné theory to understand the fibers.

For Hodge type Shimura varieties, the integral models do not have a moduli interpretation, which makes it difficult to make the above strategy work. We can still associate a p -divisible group with extra structures X to an $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ -point, but it is no longer clear that the fiber only depends on this X .

Idea of the proof III

Idea of the proof III

Let K_p be a hyperspecial parahoric and $K'_p \subset K_p$ another parahoric.

Idea of the proof III

Let K_p be a hyperspecial parahoric and $K'_p \subset K_p$ another parahoric. Let $S_{K, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}(G, X)$ be the special fiber of the Kisin-Pappas integral model, then it has a morphism to the 'moduli space of p -divisible groups with extra structures'.

Idea of the proof III

Let K_p be a hyperspecial parahoric and $K'_p \subset K_p$ another parahoric. Let $S_{K, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}(G, X)$ be the special fiber of the Kisin-Pappas integral model, then it has a morphism to the 'moduli space of p -divisible groups with extra structures'. This map fits into a commutative diagram together with its variant for K'

Idea of the proof III

Let K_p be a hyperspecial parahoric and $K'_p \subset K_p$ another parahoric. Let $S_{K, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}(G, X)$ be the special fiber of the Kisin-Pappas integral model, then it has a morphism to the 'moduli space of p -divisible groups with extra structures'. This map fits into a commutative diagram together with its variant for K'

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_{K', \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}(G, X) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Sht}_{G, \mu, K'_p} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S_{K, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}(G, X) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Sht}_{G, \mu, K_p} \end{array} \quad (3)$$

Idea of the proof III

Let K_p be a hyperspecial parahoric and $K'_p \subset K_p$ another parahoric. Let $S_{K, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}(G, X)$ be the special fiber of the Kisin-Pappas integral model, then it has a morphism to the 'moduli space of p -divisible groups with extra structures'. This map fits into a commutative diagram together with its variant for K'

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_{K', \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}(G, X) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Sht}_{G, \mu, K'_p} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S_{K, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}(G, X) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Sht}_{G, \mu, K_p} . \end{array} \quad (3)$$

Here $\mathrm{Sht}_{G, \mu, K_p}$ is the stack of G -shtukas of type μ and level K_p . These were introduced by Xiao-Zhu and generalised by Shen-Yu-Zhang.

Idea of the proof III

Let K_p be a hyperspecial parahoric and $K'_p \subset K_p$ another parahoric. Let $S_{K, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}(G, X)$ be the special fiber of the Kisin-Pappas integral model, then it has a morphism to the 'moduli space of p -divisible groups with extra structures'. This map fits into a commutative diagram together with its variant for K'

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_{K', \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}(G, X) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Sht}_{G, \mu, K'_p} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S_{K, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}(G, X) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Sht}_{G, \mu, K_p} . \end{array} \quad (3)$$

Here $\mathrm{Sht}_{G, \mu, K_p}$ is the stack of G -shtukas of type μ and level K_p . These were introduced by Xiao-Zhu and generalised by Shen-Yu-Zhang.

The LR conjecture holds for the Shimura variety in the top left corner if and only if the diagram is Cartesian.

Idea of the proof IV

Idea of the proof IV

So let Y be the fiber product of the diagram

Idea of the proof IV

So let Y be the fiber product of the diagram and consider the morphism $i : S_{K', \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}(G, X) \rightarrow Y$, we will show it is an isomorphism in three steps:

Idea of the proof IV

So let Y be the fiber product of the diagram and consider the morphism $i : S_{K', \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}(G, X) \rightarrow Y$, we will show it is an isomorphism in three steps:

We show that i is a closed immersion.

Idea of the proof IV

So let Y be the fiber product of the diagram and consider the morphism $i : S_{K', \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}(G, X) \rightarrow Y$, we will show it is an isomorphism in three steps:

We show that i is a closed immersion.

We show that Y is equidimensional.

Idea of the proof IV

So let Y be the fiber product of the diagram and consider the morphism $i : S_{K', \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}(G, X) \rightarrow Y$, we will show it is an isomorphism in three steps:

We show that i is a closed immersion.

We show that Y is equidimensional.

We prove that Y has the same number of irreducible components as $S_{K', \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}(G, X)$.

Idea of the proof IV

So let Y be the fiber product of the diagram and consider the morphism $i : S_{K', \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}(G, X) \rightarrow Y$, we will show it is an isomorphism in three steps:

We show that i is a closed immersion.

We show that Y is equidimensional.

We prove that Y has the same number of irreducible components as $S_{K', \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}(G, X)$. We do this by showing that Y has as few irreducible components as possible.

Idea of the proof IV

So let Y be the fiber product of the diagram and consider the morphism $i : S_{K', \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}(G, X) \rightarrow Y$, we will show it is an isomorphism in three steps:

We show that i is a closed immersion.

We show that Y is equidimensional.

We prove that Y has the same number of irreducible components as $S_{K', \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}(G, X)$. We do this by showing that Y has as few irreducible components as possible.

This last result is new even for $S_{K', \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}(G, X)$!

Idea of the proof V

Idea of the proof V

Both Y and $S_{K', \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}(G, X)$ have a natural stratification, called the KR stratification.

Idea of the proof V

Both Y and $S_{K', \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}(G, X)$ have a natural stratification, called the KR stratification.

KR strata are equidimensional, and both Y and $S_{K', \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}(G, X)$ are a union of closures of strata.

Idea of the proof V

Both Y and $S_{K', \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}(G, X)$ have a natural stratification, called the KR stratification.

KR strata are equidimensional, and both Y and $S_{K', \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}(G, X)$ are a union of closures of strata.

If we could show that the Kottwitz-Rapoport strata of Y were irreducible, then we would be done.

Idea of the proof V

Both Y and $S_{K', \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}(G, X)$ have a natural stratification, called the KR stratification.

KR strata are equidimensional, and both Y and $S_{K', \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}(G, X)$ are a union of closures of strata.

If we could show that the Kottwitz-Rapoport strata of Y were irreducible, then we would be done.

This isn't entirely true, but we can deal with the other cases (those contained in the basic locus) using results of Zhou.

Idea of the proof VI

Idea of the proof VI

This irreducibility argument the main part of my proof;

Idea of the proof VI

This irreducibility argument the main part of my proof; some ingredients are

Idea of the proof VI

This irreducibility argument the main part of my proof; some ingredients are

An irreducibility argument of Görtz-Yu

Idea of the proof VI

This irreducibility argument the main part of my proof; some ingredients are

- An irreducibility argument of Görtz-Yu

- An irreducibility argument of He-Zhou

Idea of the proof VI

This irreducibility argument the main part of my proof; some ingredients are

- An irreducibility argument of Görtz-Yu

- An irreducibility argument of He-Zhou

- Strong approximation

Idea of the proof VI

This irreducibility argument is the main part of my proof; some ingredients are

- An irreducibility argument of Görtz-Yu

- An irreducibility argument of He-Zhou

- Strong approximation

- Monodromy arguments à la Chai

Main Results II

Main Results II

The modular curve $\Gamma_0(N)$ comes equipped with the Ekedahl-Oort stratification;

Main Results II

The modular curve $\Gamma_0(N)$ comes equipped with the Ekedahl-Oort stratification; the stratum that an $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ point (E, α_n) is in is determined by the p -torsion $E[p]$.

Main Results II

The modular curve $\Gamma_0(N)$ comes equipped with the Ekedahl-Oort stratification; the stratum that an $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ point (E, α_n) is in is determined by the p -torsion $E[p]$. More generally, this defines a stratification on the moduli space of abelian varieties.

Main Results II

The modular curve $\Gamma_0(N)$ comes equipped with the Ekedahl-Oort stratification; the stratum that an $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ point (E, α_n) is in is determined by the p -torsion $E[p]$. More generally, this defines a stratification on the moduli space of abelian varieties.

Ekedahl and van der Geer showed that Ekedahl-Oort strata are irreducible precisely when they are not contained in the supersingular locus.

Main Results II

The modular curve $\Gamma_0(N)$ comes equipped with the Ekedahl-Oort stratification; the stratum that an $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ point (E, α_n) is in is determined by the p -torsion $E[p]$. More generally, this defines a stratification on the moduli space of abelian varieties.

Ekedahl and van der Geer showed that Ekedahl-Oort strata are irreducible precisely when they are not contained in the supersingular locus.

Let (G, X) be as above, and let K_p be a hyperspecial subgroup.

Main Results II

The modular curve $\Gamma_0(N)$ comes equipped with the Ekedahl-Oort stratification; the stratum that an $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ point (E, α_n) is in is determined by the p -torsion $E[p]$. More generally, this defines a stratification on the moduli space of abelian varieties.

Ekedahl and van der Geer showed that Ekedahl-Oort strata are irreducible precisely when they are not contained in the supersingular locus.

Let (G, X) be as above, and let K_p be a hyperspecial subgroup.

Theorem 2 (-)

Suppose for simplicity that G^{ad} is \mathbb{Q} -simple. Then Ekedahl-Oort strata that are not contained in the basic locus are irreducible.

Main Results III

Main Results III

Using the Mantovan product formula,

Main Results III

Using the Mantovan product formula, and results of Zhou-Zhu on irreducible components of Rapoport-Zink spaces, we can prove the following result:

Main Results III

Using the Mantovan product formula, and results of Zhou-Zhu on irreducible components of Rapoport-Zink spaces, we can prove the following result:

Theorem 3

Suppose for simplicity that G^{ad} is \mathbb{Q} -simple.

Main Results III

Using the Mantovan product formula, and results of Zhou-Zhu on irreducible components of Rapoport-Zink spaces, we can prove the following result:

Theorem 3

Suppose for simplicity that G^{ad} is \mathbb{Q} -simple. If $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is split, then non-basic Newton strata are irreducible.

Main Results III

Using the Mantovan product formula, and results of Zhou-Zhu on irreducible components of Rapoport-Zink spaces, we can prove the following result:

Theorem 3

Suppose for simplicity that G^{ad} is \mathbb{Q} -simple. If $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is split, then non-basic Newton strata are irreducible. More generally the number of irreducible components of a Newton stratum Sh_b is given by the representation theoretic constant

Main Results III

Using the Mantovan product formula, and results of Zhou-Zhu on irreducible components of Rapoport-Zink spaces, we can prove the following result:

Theorem 3

Suppose for simplicity that G^{ad} is \mathbb{Q} -simple. If $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is split, then non-basic Newton strata are irreducible. More generally the number of irreducible components of a Newton stratum Sh_b is given by the representation theoretic constant

$$\mathrm{Dim} V_{\mu}(\lambda_b)_{rel},$$

introduced by Zhou-Zhu.

Main Results III

Using the Mantovan product formula, and results of Zhou-Zhu on irreducible components of Rapoport-Zink spaces, we can prove the following result:

Theorem 3

Suppose for simplicity that G^{ad} is \mathbb{Q} -simple. If $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is split, then non-basic Newton strata are irreducible. More generally the number of irreducible components of a Newton stratum Sh_b is given by the representation theoretic constant

$$\mathrm{Dim} V_{\mu}(\lambda_b)_{rel},$$

introduced by Zhou-Zhu. Furthermore, central leaves in non-basic Newton strata are also irreducible,

Main Results III

Using the Mantovan product formula, and results of Zhou-Zhu on irreducible components of Rapoport-Zink spaces, we can prove the following result:

Theorem 3

Suppose for simplicity that G^{ad} is \mathbb{Q} -simple. If $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is split, then non-basic Newton strata are irreducible. More generally the number of irreducible components of a Newton stratum Sh_b is given by the representation theoretic constant

$$\mathrm{Dim} V_{\mu}(\lambda_b)_{rel},$$

introduced by Zhou-Zhu. Furthermore, central leaves in non-basic Newton strata are also irreducible, this is known as the discrete part of the Hecke-orbit conjecture.

Thank you for your attention!