

# Monotonicity and rigidity of the $\mathcal{W}$ -entropy on $\text{RCD}(0, N)$ spaces

Kazumasa Kuwada

(Tohoku University)

joint work with X.-D. Li (Chinese Academy of Science)

International Conference on Statistics and Probability  
Nanning, 28 Jun.–1 Jul. 2017

# 1. Introduction

# $\mathcal{W}$ -entropy on Riem. mfd

$M$ :  $m$ -dim. cpl. Riem. mfd with “bdd. geom.”

$$f \in C^\infty(M), u := \frac{e^{-f}}{(4\pi t)^{m/2}}, \int_M u \, d \text{vol} = 1$$

$$\mathcal{W}(f, t) := \int [t|\nabla f|^2 + f - m] u \, d \text{vol}$$

# $\mathcal{W}$ -entropy on Riem. mfd

$M$ :  $m$ -dim. cpl. Riem. mfd with “bdd. geom.”

$$f \in C^\infty(M), u := \frac{e^{-f}}{(4\pi t)^{m/2}}, \int_M u \, d \text{vol} = 1$$

$$\mathcal{W}(f, t) := \int [t|\nabla f|^2 + f - m] u \, d \text{vol}$$

★  $\text{Ric} \geq 0, \partial_t u = \Delta u$

# $\mathcal{W}$ -entropy on Riem. mfd

$M$ :  $m$ -dim. cpl. Riem. mfd with “bdd. geom.”

$$f \in C^\infty(M), u := \frac{e^{-f}}{(4\pi t)^{m/2}}, \int_M u \, d \text{vol} = 1$$

$$\mathcal{W}(f, t) := \int [t|\nabla f|^2 + f - m] u \, d \text{vol}$$

$$\star \text{ Ric} \geq 0, \partial_t u = \Delta u \Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{W}(f, t) \leq 0$$

# $\mathcal{W}$ -entropy on Riem. mfd

$M$ :  $m$ -dim. cpl. Riem. mfd with “bdd. geom.”

$$f \in C^\infty(M), u := \frac{e^{-f}}{(4\pi t)^{m/2}}, \int_M u \, d \text{vol} = 1$$

$$\mathcal{W}(f, t) := \int [t|\nabla f|^2 + f - m] u \, d \text{vol}$$

$$\star \text{ Ric} \geq 0, \partial_t u = \Delta u \Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{W}(f, t) \leq 0$$

$$\star u: \text{heat kernel} \ \& \ \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{W} = 0$$

# $\mathcal{W}$ -entropy on Riem. mfd

$M$ :  $m$ -dim. cpl. Riem. mfd with “bdd. geom.”

$$f \in C^\infty(M), u := \frac{e^{-f}}{(4\pi t)^{m/2}}, \int_M u \, d \text{vol} = 1$$

$$\mathcal{W}(f, t) := \int [t|\nabla f|^2 + f - m] u \, d \text{vol}$$

$$\star \text{ Ric} \geq 0, \partial_t u = \Delta u \Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{W}(f, t) \leq 0$$

$$\star u: \text{ heat kernel} \ \& \ \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{W} = 0 \Rightarrow M \simeq \mathbb{R}^m$$

[Ni '04]/[X.-D. Li '12]

# Purpose

**Q.**

Can one extend the monotonicity/rigidity of  $\mathcal{W}$  on “Riemannian” met. meas. sp.’s  $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$  with “ $\text{Ric} \geq 0$  &  $\dim \leq N$ ” (**RCD**(0,  $N$ ) spaces)?



# Purpose

**Q.**

Can one extend the monotonicity/rigidity of  $\mathcal{W}$  on “Riemannian” met. meas. sp.’s  $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$  with “ $\text{Ric} \geq 0$  &  $\dim \leq N$ ” (**RCD**(0,  $N$ ) spaces)?

**A.**

Yes!

# Purpose

**Q.**

Can one extend the monotonicity/rigidity of  $\mathcal{W}$  on “Riemannian” met. meas. sp.’s  $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$  with “ $\text{Ric} \geq 0$  &  $\dim \leq N$ ” (**RCD**(0,  $N$ ) spaces)?

**A.**

Yes!

- Weaken ass'n(s) even on (weighted) Riem. mfd

# Purpose

**Q.**

Can one extend the monotonicity/rigidity of  $\mathcal{W}$  on “Riemannian” met. meas. sp.’s  $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$  with “ $\text{Ric} \geq 0$  &  $\dim \leq N$ ” (**RCD**(0,  $N$ ) spaces)?

**A.**

Yes!

- Weaken ass'n(s) even on (weighted) Riem. mfd's
- Without the entropy formula

# Purpose

**Q.**

Can one extend the monotonicity/rigidity of  $\mathcal{W}$  on “Riemannian” met. meas. sp.’s  $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$  with “ $\text{Ric} \geq 0$  &  $\dim \leq N$ ” (**RCD**(0,  $N$ ) spaces)?

**A.**

Yes!

- Weaken ass’n(s) even on (weighted) Riem. mfd
- Without the entropy formula  
← optimal transport approach

# Purpose

**Q.**

Can one extend the monotonicity/rigidity of  $\mathcal{W}$  on “Riemannian” met. meas. sp.’s  $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$  with “ $\text{Ric} \geq 0$  &  $\dim \leq N$ ” (**RCD**(0,  $N$ ) spaces)?

**A.**

Yes!

- Weaken ass’n(s) even on (weighted) Riem. mfd’s
- Without the entropy formula  
← optimal transport approach
- Singular sp.’s other than  $\mathbb{R}^m$  appear in rigidity

## Outline of the talk

### **1. Introduction**

### **2. Curvature-dimension conditions**

### **3. Main results**

### **4. Proof**

4.1 Monotonicity

4.2 Rigidity

1. Introduction

**2. Curvature-dimension conditions**

3. Main results

4. Proof

4.1 Monotonicity

4.2 Rigidity

## “R” CD condition

“R”: the canonical heat semigroup  $P_t = e^{t\Delta}$  is linear.

**CD(0, N)**: up to some regularity ass'ns, either/both of

- “ $\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\nabla f|_w^2 - \langle \nabla f, \nabla \Delta f \rangle_w \geq \frac{1}{N}|\Delta f|^2$ ”

(Bakry-Émery's curv.-dim. cond.)

- $W_2(P_s\mu, P_t\nu)^2 \leq W_2(\mu, \nu)^2 + 2N(\sqrt{t} - \sqrt{s})^2$

[Erbar, K. & Sturm '15]



## “R” CD condition

“R”: the canonical heat semigroup  $P_t = e^{t\Delta}$  is linear.

**CD(0, N)**: up to some regularity ass'ns, either/both of

- “ $\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\nabla f|_w^2 - \langle \nabla f, \nabla \Delta f \rangle_w \geq \frac{1}{N}|\Delta f|^2$ ”

(Bakry-Émery's curv.-dim. cond.)

- $W_2(P_s\mu, P_t\nu)^2 \leq W_2(\mu, \nu)^2 + 2N(\sqrt{t} - \sqrt{s})^2$

[Erbar, K. & Sturm '15]

## “R” CD condition

“R”: the canonical heat semigroup  $P_t = e^{t\Delta}$  is linear.

**CD(0, N)**: up to some regularity ass'ns, either/both of

- “ $\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\nabla f|_w^2 - \langle \nabla f, \nabla \Delta f \rangle_w \geq \frac{1}{N}|\Delta f|^2$ ”

(Bakry-Émery's curv.-dim. cond.)

- $W_2(P_s\mu, P_t\nu)^2 \leq W_2(\mu, \nu)^2 + 2N(\sqrt{t} - \sqrt{s})^2$

[Erbar, K. & Sturm '15]

## “R” CD condition

“R”: the canonical heat semigroup  $P_t = e^{t\Delta}$  is linear.

**CD(0, N)**: up to some regularity ass'ns, either/both of

- “ $\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\nabla f|_w^2 - \langle \nabla f, \nabla \Delta f \rangle_w \geq \frac{1}{N}|\Delta f|^2$ ”

(Bakry-Émery's curv.-dim. cond.)

- $W_2(P_s\mu, P_t\nu)^2 \leq W_2(\mu, \nu)^2 + 2N(\sqrt{t} - \sqrt{s})^2$

[Erbar, K. & Sturm '15]

$W_2(\mu, \nu) := \inf \{ \|d\|_{L^2(\pi)} \mid \pi: \text{coupling of } \mu \text{ \& } \nu \}$   
( $L^2$ -Wasserstein distance)

## “R” CD condition

“R”: the canonical heat semigroup  $P_t = e^{t\Delta}$  is linear.

**CD(0, N)**: up to some regularity ass'ns, either/both of

- “ $\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\nabla f|_w^2 - \langle \nabla f, \nabla \Delta f \rangle_w \geq \frac{1}{N}|\Delta f|^2$ ”

(Bakry-Émery's curv.-dim. cond.)

- $W_2(P_s\mu, P_t\nu)^2 \leq W_2(\mu, \nu)^2 + 2N(\sqrt{t} - \sqrt{s})^2$

- ...

[Erbar, K. & Sturm '15]

$W_2(\mu, \nu) := \inf \{ \|d\|_{L^2(\pi)} \mid \pi: \text{coupling of } \mu \text{ \& } \nu \}$   
( $L^2$ -Wasserstein distance)

# Examples

- $(X, g)$ :  $m$ -dim. cpl. Riem. mfd.,  $\partial X = \emptyset$ ,  
 $d$ : Riem. dist.,  $\mathbf{m} = e^{-V} \text{vol}_g$  ( $V : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ )  
(Weighted Riem. mfd)



$$\mathbf{RCD}^*(K, N) \Leftrightarrow \text{Ric} + \nabla^2 V - \frac{\nabla V \otimes 2}{N - m} \geq K$$

- (Pointed) measured GH lim. of  $\mathbf{RCD}^*(K, N)$  sp.'s  
[... /Gigli, Mondino & Savaré '15]

# Examples

- $(X, g)$ :  $m$ -dim. cpl. Riem. mfd.,  $\partial X = \emptyset$ ,  
 $d$ : Riem. dist.,  $\mathbf{m} = e^{-V} \text{vol}_g$  ( $V : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ )  
(Weighted Riem. mfd)



$$\mathbf{RCD}^*(K, N) \Leftrightarrow \text{Ric} + \nabla^2 V - \frac{\nabla V \otimes 2}{N - m} \geq K$$

- (Pointed) measured GH lim. of  $\mathbf{RCD}^*(K, N)$  sp.'s  
[... /Gigli, Mondino & Savaré '15]

1. Introduction

2. Curvature-dimension conditions

**3. Main results**

4. Proof

4.1 Monotonicity

4.2 Rigidity

# $\mathcal{W}$ -entropy

$$\mu = \rho \mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{P}(X), \rho =: \frac{e^{-f}}{(4\pi t)^{N/2}}$$

$$\mathcal{W}(\mu, t) := \int_X [t|\nabla f|_w^2 + f - N] \rho \, d\mathbf{m}$$



# $\mathcal{W}$ -entropy

$$\mu = \rho \mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{P}(X), \rho =: \frac{e^{-f}}{(4\pi t)^{N/2}}$$

$$\mathcal{W}(\mu, t) := \int_X [t|\nabla f|_w^2 + f - N] \rho \, d\mathbf{m}$$

- $I(\mu) := \int \frac{|\nabla \rho|_w^2}{\rho} \, d\mathbf{m}$
- $\text{Ent}(\mu) := \int_X \rho \log \rho \, d\mathbf{m}$

# $\mathcal{W}$ -entropy

$$\mu = \rho \mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{P}(X), \rho =: \frac{e^{-f}}{(4\pi t)^{N/2}}$$

$$\mathcal{W}(\mu, t) := \int_X [t|\nabla f|_w^2 + f - N] \rho \, d\mathbf{m}$$

- $I(\mu) := \int \frac{|\nabla \rho|_w^2}{\rho} \, d\mathbf{m}$
- $\text{Ent}(\mu) := \int_X \rho \log \rho \, d\mathbf{m}$

# $\mathcal{W}$ -entropy

$$\mu = \rho \mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{P}(X), \rho =: \frac{e^{-f}}{(4\pi t)^{N/2}}$$

$$\mathcal{W}(\mu, t) := \int_X [t|\nabla f|_w^2 + f - N] \rho \, d\mathbf{m}$$

- $I(\mu) := \int \frac{|\nabla \rho|_w^2}{\rho} \, d\mathbf{m}$
- $\text{Ent}(\mu) := \int_X \rho \log \rho \, d\mathbf{m}$

# $\mathcal{W}$ -entropy

$$\mu = \rho \mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{P}(X), \rho =: \frac{e^{-f}}{(4\pi t)^{N/2}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}(\mu, t) &:= \int_X [t|\nabla f|_w^2 + f - N] \rho \, d\mathbf{m} \\ &= tI(\mu) - \text{Ent}(\mu) - \frac{N}{2} \log t + c_1 \end{aligned}$$

- $I(\mu) := \int \frac{|\nabla \rho|_w^2}{\rho} \, d\mathbf{m}$
- $\text{Ent}(\mu) := \int_X \rho \log \rho \, d\mathbf{m}$

# $\mathcal{W}$ -entropy

$$\mu = \rho \mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{P}(X),$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W}(\mu, t) &:= tI(\mu) - \text{Ent}(\mu) - \frac{N}{2} \log t + c_1 \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} [t \text{Ent}(\mu_t) - t \text{Ent}^{\text{Eucl}}(\text{Gauss}(t))]\end{aligned}$$

- $I(\mu) := \int \frac{|\nabla \rho|_w^2}{\rho} \, d\mathbf{m}$
- $\text{Ent}(\mu) := \int_X \rho \log \rho \, d\mathbf{m}$

# Main thm

## Theorem 1 ([X.-D. Li & K.])

$(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$ : **RCD**(0,  $N$ ),  $N \geq 2$ ,  $\mu_t := P_t\mu$

(1)  $\mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t) \searrow$  in  $t \in (0, \infty)$

(2) Suppose  $\exists t_* > 0$  s.t.

$$\overline{\lim}_{t \downarrow t_*} \frac{\mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t) - \mathcal{W}(\mu_{t_*}, t_*)}{t - t_*} = 0$$

$\Rightarrow$

# Main thm

## Theorem 1 ([X.-D. Li & K.])

$(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$ :  $\text{RCD}(0, N)$ ,  $N \geq 2$ ,  $\mu_t := P_t \mu$

(1)  $\mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t) \searrow$  in  $t \in (0, \infty)$

(2) Suppose  $\exists t_* > 0$  s.t.

$$\overline{\lim}_{t \downarrow t_*} \frac{\mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t) - \mathcal{W}(\mu_{t_*}, t_*)}{t - t_*} = 0$$

$\Rightarrow$

# Main thm

## Theorem 1 ([X.-D. Li & K.])

$(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$ :  $\mathbf{RCD}(0, N)$ ,  $N \geq 2$ ,  $\mu_t := P_t \mu$

(1)  $\mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t) \searrow$  in  $t \in (0, \infty)$

(2) Suppose  $\exists t_* > 0$  s.t.

$$\overline{\lim}_{t \downarrow t_*} \frac{\mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t) - \mathcal{W}(\mu_{t_*}, t_*)}{t - t_*} = 0$$

$\Rightarrow \exists x_0 \in X$  s.t.  $\mu = \delta_{x_0}$ ,

$X \simeq$   $(0, N - 1)$ -cone of  
an  $\mathbf{RCD}^*(N - 2, N - 1)$  sp.

&  $t \mapsto \mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t)$ : const.



# Main thm

## Theorem 1 ([X.-D. Li & K.])

$(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$ :  $\text{RCD}(0, N)$ ,  $N \geq 2$ ,  $\mu_t := P_t \mu$

(1)  $\mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t) \searrow$  in  $t \in (0, \infty)$

(2)  $\exists t_* > 0$  s.t.

$$\overline{\lim}_{t \downarrow t_*} \frac{\mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t) - \mathcal{W}(\mu_{t_*}, t_*)}{t - t_*} = 0$$

$\Leftrightarrow \exists x_0 \in X$  s.t.  $\mu = \delta_{x_0}$ ,

$X \simeq$   $(0, N - 1)$ -cone of  
an  $\text{RCD}^*(N - 2, N - 1)$  sp.

&  $t \mapsto \mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t)$ : const.

# Cone

## Definition 2 ((0, N)-cone)

$(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$ : (0, N)-cone of  $(Y, d_Y, \mathfrak{m}_Y)$

- $X = [0, \infty) \times Y / \{0\} \times Y,$

$\stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow}$

- $d((r, x), (s, y))^2$   
 $:= r^2 + s^2 - 2rs \cos(d_Y(x, y) \wedge \pi)$

- $\mathfrak{m}(drdx) := r^N dr \mathfrak{m}_Y(dx)$

# Cone

## Definition 2 ((0, N)-cone)

$(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$ : (0, N)-cone of  $(Y, d_Y, \mathfrak{m}_Y)$

- $X = [0, \infty) \times Y / \{0\} \times Y,$

$\stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow}$

- $d((r, x), (s, y))^2$   
 $:= r^2 + s^2 - 2rs \cos(d_Y(x, y) \wedge \pi)$

- $\mathfrak{m}(dr dx) := r^N dr \mathfrak{m}_Y(dx)$

# Cone

## Definition 2 ((0, N)-cone)

$(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$ : (0, N)-cone of  $(Y, d_Y, \mathfrak{m}_Y)$

- $X = [0, \infty) \times Y / \{0\} \times Y,$

$\stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow}$

- $d((r, x), (s, y))^2$   
 $:= r^2 + s^2 - 2rs \cos(d_Y(x, y) \wedge \pi)$

- $\mathfrak{m}(drdx) := r^N dr \mathfrak{m}_Y(dx)$

# Remarks

- [Jiang & Zhang '16]  $X$ : cpt.  $\Rightarrow$  Theorem 1 (1)
- In previous results,  $\mu = \delta_{x_0}$  (initial data) is assumed
- Considering the right upper derivative of  $\mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t)$
- $(0, N)$ -cone of  $Y$  is a (smooth) Riem. mfd  
 $\Leftrightarrow Y \simeq \mathbf{S}^{N-1}(1)$
- An “almost rigidity” can be formulated

# Remarks

- [Jiang & Zhang '16]  $X$ : cpt.  $\Rightarrow$  Theorem 1 (1)
- In previous results,  $\mu = \delta_{x_0}$  (initial data) is **assumed**
- Considering the right upper derivative of  $\mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t)$
- $(0, N)$ -cone of  $Y$  is a (smooth) Riem. mfd  
 $\Leftrightarrow Y \simeq \mathbf{S}^{N-1}(1)$
- An “almost rigidity” can be formulated

# Remarks

- [Jiang & Zhang '16]  $X$ : cpt.  $\Rightarrow$  Theorem 1 (1)
- In previous results,  $\mu = \delta_{x_0}$  (initial data) is **assumed**  
 $\rightsquigarrow$  It is a **conclusion** in Theorem 1
- Considering the right upper derivative of  $\mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t)$
- $(0, N)$ -cone of  $Y$  is a (smooth) Riem. mfd  
 $\Leftrightarrow Y \simeq \mathbf{S}^{N-1}(1)$
- An “almost rigidity” can be formulated

# Remarks

- [Jiang & Zhang '16]  $X$ : cpt.  $\Rightarrow$  Theorem 1 (1)
- In previous results,  $\mu = \delta_{x_0}$  (initial data) is assumed  
 $\rightsquigarrow$  It is a conclusion in Theorem 1
- Considering the right upper derivative of  $\mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t)$
- $(0, N)$ -cone of  $Y$  is a (smooth) Riem. mfd  
 $\Leftrightarrow Y \simeq \mathbf{S}^{N-1}(1)$
- An “almost rigidity” can be formulated



# Remarks

- [Jiang & Zhang '16]  $X$ : cpt.  $\Rightarrow$  Theorem 1 (1)
- In previous results,  $\mu = \delta_{x_0}$  (initial data) is assumed  
 $\rightsquigarrow$  It is a conclusion in Theorem 1
- Considering the right upper derivative of  $\mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t)$   
 $\rightsquigarrow$  Requires no differentiability
- $(0, N)$ -cone of  $Y$  is a (smooth) Riem. mfd  
 $\Leftrightarrow Y \simeq \mathbf{S}^{N-1}(1)$
- An “almost rigidity” can be formulated

# Remarks

- [Jiang & Zhang '16]  $\mathbf{X}$ : cpt.  $\Rightarrow$  Theorem 1 (1)
- In previous results,  $\mu = \delta_{x_0}$  (initial data) is assumed  
 $\rightsquigarrow$  It is a conclusion in Theorem 1
- Considering the right upper derivative of  $\mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t)$   
 $\rightsquigarrow$  Requires no differentiability
- $(\mathbf{0}, N)$ -cone of  $\mathbf{Y}$  is a (smooth) Riem. mfd  
 $\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{Y} \simeq \mathbf{S}^{N-1}(\mathbf{1})$
- An “almost rigidity” can be formulated

# Remarks

- [Jiang & Zhang '16]  $X$ : cpt.  $\Rightarrow$  Theorem 1 (1)
- In previous results,  $\mu = \delta_{x_0}$  (initial data) is assumed  
 $\rightsquigarrow$  It is a conclusion in Theorem 1
- Considering the right upper derivative of  $\mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t)$   
 $\rightsquigarrow$  Requires no differentiability
- $(0, N)$ -cone of  $Y$  is a (smooth) Riem. mfd  
 $\Leftrightarrow Y \simeq \mathbf{S}^{N-1}(1)$   
 $\rightsquigarrow$  Theorem 1 covers previous results  
for weighted Riem. mfd
- An “almost rigidity” can be formulated

# Remarks

- [Jiang & Zhang '16]  $X$ : cpt.  $\Rightarrow$  Theorem 1 (1)
- In previous results,  $\mu = \delta_{x_0}$  (initial data) is assumed  
 $\rightsquigarrow$  It is a conclusion in Theorem 1
- Considering the right upper derivative of  $\mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t)$   
 $\rightsquigarrow$  Requires no differentiability
- $(0, N)$ -cone of  $Y$  is a (smooth) Riem. mfd  
 $\Leftrightarrow Y \simeq \mathbf{S}^{N-1}(\mathbf{1})$  ( $\Rightarrow \dim X = N \in \mathbf{N}$ )  
 $\rightsquigarrow$  Theorem 1 covers previous results  
for weighted Riem. mfd
- An “almost rigidity” can be formulated

# Remarks

- [Jiang & Zhang '16]  $X$ : cpt.  $\Rightarrow$  Theorem 1 (1)
- In previous results,  $\mu = \delta_{x_0}$  (initial data) is assumed  
 $\rightsquigarrow$  It is a conclusion in Theorem 1
- Considering the right upper derivative of  $\mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t)$   
 $\rightsquigarrow$  Requires no differentiability
- $(0, N)$ -cone of  $Y$  is a (smooth) Riem. mfd  
 $\Leftrightarrow Y \simeq \mathbf{S}^{N-1}(\mathbf{1})$  ( $\Rightarrow \dim X = N \in \mathbf{N}$ )  
 $\rightsquigarrow$  Theorem 1 covers previous results  
for weighted Riem. mfd
- An “almost rigidity” can be formulated

1. Introduction

2. Curvature-dimension conditions

3. Main results

**4. Proof**

4.1 Monotonicity

4.2 Rigidity

# Heat flow as a gradient flow of Ent

$$\mu_t := P_t \mu$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\dot{\mu}_t = -\nabla \text{Ent}(\mu_t)} \text{ on } (\mathcal{P}(X), W_2)$$

# Heat flow as a gradient flow of Ent

$$\mu_t := P_t \mu$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\dot{\mu}_t = -\nabla \text{Ent}(\mu_t)} \text{ on } (\mathcal{P}(X), W_2)$$

- Formally,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \text{Ent}(\mu_t) = -|\nabla \text{Ent}(\mu_t)|^2 = -\|\dot{\mu}_t\|^2$$



# Heat flow as a gradient flow of Ent

$$\mu_t := P_t \mu$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\dot{\mu}_t = -\nabla \text{Ent}(\mu_t)} \text{ on } (\mathcal{P}(X), W_2)$$

- Formally,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \text{Ent}(\mu_t) = -|\nabla \text{Ent}(\mu_t)|^2 = -\|\dot{\mu}_t\|^2$$

- $\frac{d}{dt} \text{Ent}(\mu_t) = -I(\mu_t)$

# Heat flow as a gradient flow of Ent

$$\mu_t := P_t \mu$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\dot{\mu}_t = -\nabla \text{Ent}(\mu_t)} \text{ on } (\mathcal{P}(X), W_2)$$

- Formally,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \text{Ent}(\mu_t) = -|\nabla \text{Ent}(\mu_t)|^2 = -\|\dot{\mu}_t\|^2$$

- $\frac{d}{dt} \text{Ent}(\mu_t) = -I(\mu_t)$

- Rigorously,

$$\boxed{\overline{\lim}_{\delta \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\delta^2} W_2(\mu_t, \mu_{t+\delta})^2 = I(\mu_t)} \text{ a.e. } t$$

## 4.1. Monotonicity

(cf. [Topping '09] on (backward) Ricci flow)

## Derivation from $\text{RCD}(0, N)$

$$\star th(t) := t^2 I(\mu_t) - \frac{Nt}{2} \quad \& \quad \frac{d}{dt} \text{Ent}(\mu_t) = -I(\mu_t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \left. \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t) = \frac{1}{t} \frac{d}{dt} (th(t)) \right\}$$

## Derivation from $\text{RCD}(0, N)$

$$\star th(t) := t^2 I(\mu_t) - \frac{Nt}{2} \quad \& \quad \frac{d}{dt} \text{Ent}(\mu_t) = -I(\mu_t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \left. \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t) = \frac{1}{t} \frac{d}{dt} (th(t)) \right\}$$

$$W_2(P_{t'}\mu', P_{s'}\nu')^2 \leq W_2(\mu', \nu')^2 + 2N(\sqrt{t'} - \sqrt{s'})^2$$

## Derivation from $\text{RCD}(0, N)$

$$\star th(t) := t^2 I(\mu_t) - \frac{Nt}{2} \quad \& \quad \frac{d}{dt} \text{Ent}(\mu_t) = -I(\mu_t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \left. \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t) = \frac{1}{t} \frac{d}{dt} (th(t)) \right.$$

$$W_2(\mu_t, \mu_{(1+\delta)t})^2 \leq W_2(\mu_s, \mu_{(1+\delta)s})^2 + \dots$$

$$\Downarrow \left. \overline{\lim}_{\delta \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\delta^2} \right. \text{ with } \|\dot{\mu}_t\|^2 = I(\mu_t)$$

## Derivation from $\text{RCD}(0, N)$

$$\star th(t) := t^2 I(\mu_t) - \frac{Nt}{2} \quad \& \quad \frac{d}{dt} \text{Ent}(\mu_t) = -I(\mu_t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t) = \frac{1}{t} \frac{d}{dt} (th(t))}$$

$$W_2(\mu_t, \mu_{(1+\delta)t})^2 \leq W_2(\mu_s, \mu_{(1+\delta)s})^2 + \dots$$

$$\Downarrow \quad \boxed{\overline{\lim}_{\delta \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\delta^2} \text{ with } \|\dot{\mu}_t\|^2 = I(\mu_t)}$$

$$t^2 I(\mu_t) \leq s^2 I(\mu_s) - \frac{N}{2} (t - s)$$

## Derivation from $\text{RCD}(0, N)$

$$\star th(t) := t^2 I(\mu_t) - \frac{Nt}{2} \quad \& \quad \frac{d}{dt} \text{Ent}(\mu_t) = -I(\mu_t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t) = \frac{1}{t} \frac{d}{dt} (th(t))}$$

$$W_2(\mu_t, \mu_{(1+\delta)t})^2 \leq W_2(\mu_s, \mu_{(1+\delta)s})^2 + \dots$$

$$\Downarrow \quad \boxed{\overline{\lim}_{\delta \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\delta^2}} \text{ with } \|\dot{\mu}_t\|^2 = I(\mu_t)$$

$$t^2 I(\mu_t) \leq s^2 I(\mu_s) - \frac{N}{2} (t - s)$$

$$\Rightarrow th(t) \searrow \text{ in } t$$





## 4.2. Rigidity

## Identification of Fisher info.

For simplicity, suppose  $\mu = \delta_{x_0}$  ( $\Rightarrow \mu_t = p_t^{x_0} \mathbf{m}$ )

$\Delta d(x_0, \cdot)^2 = 2N \Rightarrow$  concl. [Gigli & de Philippis '17]

$$h(t) = tI(\mu_t) - \frac{N}{2}, \quad th(t) \searrow$$

## Identification of Fisher info.

For simplicity, suppose  $\mu = \delta_{x_0}$  ( $\Rightarrow \mu_t = p_t^{x_0} \mathbf{m}$ )

$\Delta d(x_0, \cdot)^2 = 2N \Rightarrow$  concl. [Gigli & de Philippis '17]

$$h(t) = tI(\mu_t) - \frac{N}{2}, \quad t h(t) \searrow$$

★ “ $\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{W} = 0$ ” & sp.-time  $W_2$  bound

$$\Rightarrow h(t) = 0, \text{ i.e. } I(\mu_t) = \frac{N}{2t} \quad (t \in (0, t_*])$$

## Identification of Fisher info.

For simplicity, suppose  $\mu = \delta_{x_0}$  ( $\Rightarrow \mu_t = p_t^{x_0} \mathbf{m}$ )

$\Delta d(x_0, \cdot)^2 = 2N \Rightarrow$  concl. [Gigli & de Philippis '17]

$$h(t) = tI(\mu_t) - \frac{N}{2}, \quad t h(t) \searrow$$

★ “ $\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{W} = 0$ ” & sp.-time  $W_2$  bound

$$\Rightarrow h(t) = 0, \text{ i.e. } I(\mu_t) = \frac{N}{2t} \quad (t \in (0, t_*])$$

$$\Rightarrow -\Delta \log p_t^{x_0} = \frac{N}{2t} \quad (\because \text{Li-Yau ineq.})$$

# Identification of Fisher info.

For simplicity, suppose  $\mu = \delta_{x_0}$  ( $\Rightarrow \mu_t = p_t^{x_0} \mathbf{m}$ )

$\Delta d(x_0, \cdot)^2 = 2N \Rightarrow$  concl. [Gigli & de Philippis '17]

$$h(t) = tI(\mu_t) - \frac{N}{2}, \quad th(t) \searrow$$

★ “ $\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{W} = 0$ ” & sp.-time  $W_2$  bound

$$\Rightarrow h(t) = 0, \text{ i.e. } I(\mu_t) = \frac{N}{2t} \quad (t \in (0, t_*])$$

$$\Rightarrow -\Delta \log p_t^{x_0} = \frac{N}{2t} \quad (\because \text{Li-Yau ineq.})$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta d(x_0, \cdot)^2 = 2N \quad (\because \text{Varadhan-type asymptotic}) \quad \square$$