

Monotonicity and rigidity of the \mathcal{W} -entropy on $\text{RCD}^*(0, N)$ spaces

Kazumasa Kuwada

(Tokyo Institute of Technology)

joint work with X.-D. Li (Chinese Academy of Science)

Heat kernel, Stochastic Processes and Functional Inequalities
MFO, 28 Nov.–2 Dec. 2016

1. Introduction

\mathcal{W} -entropy on Riem. mfd

M : m -dim. cpt. Riem. mfd, $t > 0$, $f \in C^\infty(M)$

$$u := \frac{e^{-f}}{(4\pi t)^{m/2}}, \int_M u \, d\text{vol} = 1$$

$$\mathcal{W}(f, t) := \int [t|\nabla f|^2 + f - m] u \, d\text{vol}$$

\mathcal{W} -entropy on Riem. mfd

M : m -dim. cpt. Riem. mfd, $t > 0$, $f \in C^\infty(M)$

$$u := \frac{e^{-f}}{(4\pi t)^{m/2}}, \int_M u \, d\text{vol} = 1$$

$$\mathcal{W}(f, t) := \int [t|\nabla f|^2 + f - m] u \, d\text{vol}$$

★ $\text{Ric} \geq 0$, $\partial_t u = \Delta u$

\mathcal{W} -entropy on Riem. mfd

M : m -dim. cpt. Riem. mfd, $t > 0$, $f \in C^\infty(M)$

$$u := \frac{e^{-f}}{(4\pi t)^{m/2}}, \int_M u \, d\text{vol} = 1$$

$$\mathcal{W}(f, t) := \int [t|\nabla f|^2 + f - m] u \, d\text{vol}$$

★ $\text{Ric} \geq 0$, $\partial_t u = \Delta u$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{W}(f, t) \leq 0$$

Entropy formula and rigidity

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{W}(f, t) \leq 0$$

↑

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{W} = -2 \int_M t \left(\left| \nabla^2 f - \frac{g}{2t} \right|^2 + \text{Ric}(\nabla f, \nabla f) \right) u \, d\text{vol}$$

[L. Ni '04]

Entropy formula and rigidity

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{W} = -2 \int_M t \left(\left| \nabla^2 f - \frac{g}{2t} \right|^2 + \text{Ric}(\nabla f, \nabla f) \right) u \, d\text{vol}$$

[L. Ni '04]

- ★ Extension to M : cpl. Riem. mfd with “bdd geom.”

Entropy formula and rigidity

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{W} = -2 \int_M t \left(\left| \nabla^2 f - \frac{g}{2t} \right|^2 + \text{Ric}(\nabla f, \nabla f) \right) u \, d\text{vol}$$

[L. Ni '04]

★ Extension to M : cpl. Riem. mfd with “bdd geom.”

$$\text{Ric} \geq 0, \text{ } u: \text{heat kernel} \text{ } \& \frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{W} = 0$$
$$\Rightarrow M \simeq \mathbb{R}^m \text{ [Ni '04]}$$

Entropy formula and rigidity

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{W} = -2 \int_M t \left(\left| \nabla^2 f - \frac{g}{2t} \right|^2 + \text{Ric}(\nabla f, \nabla f) \right) u \, d\text{vol}$$

[L. Ni '04]

★ Extension to M : cpl. Riem. mfd with “bdd geom.”

$\text{Ric} \geq 0$, u : heat kernel & $\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{W} = 0$

$\Rightarrow M \simeq \mathbb{R}^m$ [Ni '04]

↔ Similar results on Perelman's \mathcal{W} -entropy
on (backward) Ricci flow [Perelman '02]

Entropy formula and rigidity

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{W} = -2 \int_M t \left(\left| \nabla^2 f - \frac{g}{2t} \right|^2 + \text{Ric}(\nabla f, \nabla f) \right) u \, d\text{vol}$$

[L. Ni '04]

★ Extension to M : cpl. Riem. mfd with “bdd geom.”

$\text{Ric} \geq 0$, u : heat kernel & $\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{W} = 0$

$\Rightarrow M \simeq \mathbb{R}^m$ [Ni '04]

↔ Similar results on Perelman's \mathcal{W} -entropy
on (backward) Ricci flow [Perelman '02]

↔ Extension to weighted Riem. mfds [X.-D. Li '12]

Purpose

Q.

Can one extend the monotonicity/rigidity of \mathcal{W} on “Riemannian” metric measure spaces with
“ $\text{Ric} \geq 0$ & $\dim \leq N$ ” ($\text{RCD}(0, N)$ spaces)?

Purpose

Q.

Can one extend the monotonicity/rigidity of \mathcal{W} on “Riemannian” metric measure spaces with “ $\text{Ric} \geq 0$ & $\dim \leq N$ ” ($\text{RCD}(0, N)$ spaces)?

A.

Yes!

Purpose

Q.

Can one extend the monotonicity/rigidity of \mathcal{W} on “Riemannian” metric measure spaces with “ $\text{Ric} \geq 0$ & $\dim \leq N$ ” ($\text{RCD}(0, N)$ spaces)?

A.

Yes!

- Weaken ass'n(s) even on (weighted) Riem. mfds

Purpose

Q.

Can one extend the monotonicity/rigidity of \mathcal{W} on “Riemannian” metric measure spaces with “ $\text{Ric} \geq 0$ & $\dim \leq N$ ” ($\text{RCD}(0, N)$ spaces)?

A.

Yes!

- Weaken ass'n(s) even on (weighted) Riem. mfds
- Without the entropy formula

Purpose

Q.

Can one extend the monotonicity/rigidity of \mathcal{W} on “Riemannian” metric measure spaces with “ $\text{Ric} \geq 0$ & $\dim \leq N$ ” ($\text{RCD}(0, N)$ spaces)?

A.

Yes!

- Weaken ass'n(s) even on (weighted) Riem. mfds
- Without the entropy formula
 - ↪ optimal transport approach

Purpose

Q.

Can one extend the monotonicity/rigidity of \mathcal{W} on “Riemannian” metric measure spaces with “ $\text{Ric} \geq 0$ & $\dim \leq N$ ” ($\text{RCD}(0, N)$ spaces)?

A.

Yes!

- Weaken ass'n(s) even on (weighted) Riem. mfds
- Without the entropy formula
 - ↳ optimal transport approach
- Singular sp.'s other than \mathbb{R}^m appear in rigidity

Outline of the talk

1. Introduction

2. Framework: RCD spaces

3. Main results

4. Proof

4.1 Monotonicity

4.2 Rigidity

4.3 Additional remarks

1. Introduction

2. Framework: RCD spaces

3. Main results

4. Proof

4.1 Monotonicity

4.2 Rigidity

4.3 Additional remarks

Met. meas. sp. & heat flow on it

(X, d, \mathfrak{m}) : Polish geod. met. meas. sp.

$(\mathfrak{m}: \text{loc.-finite}, \text{supp } \mathfrak{m} = X)$

$P_t = e^{t\Delta} \leftrightarrow \text{Cheeger's } L^2\text{-energy}$

Met. meas. sp. & heat flow on it

(X, d, \mathfrak{m}) : Polish geod. met. meas. sp.

$(\mathfrak{m} \text{ loc.-finite, } \text{supp } \mathfrak{m} = X)$

$P_t = e^{t\Delta} \leftrightarrow \text{Cheeger's } L^2\text{-energy}$

$$2\mathbf{Ch}(f) := \inf \left\{ \overline{\lim_n} \int_X \text{lip}(f_n)^2 d\mathfrak{m} \mid \begin{array}{l} f_n : \text{Lip.} \\ f_n \rightarrow f \text{ in } L^2 \end{array} \right\}$$

Met. meas. sp. & heat flow on it

(X, d, \mathfrak{m}) : Polish geod. met. meas. sp.
 $(\mathfrak{m}: \text{loc.-finite}, \text{supp } \mathfrak{m} = X)$

$$P_t = e^{t\Delta} \leftrightarrow \text{Cheeger's } L^2\text{-energy}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2\mathbf{Ch}(f) &:= \inf \left\{ \frac{\lim}{n} \int_X \text{lip}(f_n)^2 d\mathfrak{m} \mid \begin{array}{l} f_n : \text{Lip.} \\ f_n \rightarrow f \text{ in } L^2 \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \int_X \textcolor{brown}{\exists} |Df|_{\textcolor{blue}{w}}^2 d\mathfrak{m} \end{aligned}$$

Met. meas. sp. & heat flow on it

(X, d, \mathfrak{m}) : Polish geod. met. meas. sp.

(\mathfrak{m} : loc.-finite, $\text{supp } \mathfrak{m} = X$)

$P_t = e^{t\Delta} \leftrightarrow$ Cheeger's L^2 -energy

$$\begin{aligned} 2\mathbf{Ch}(f) &:= \inf \left\{ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X \text{lip}(f_n)^2 d\mathfrak{m} \mid \begin{array}{l} f_n : \text{Lip.} \\ f_n \rightarrow f \text{ in } L^2 \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \int_X |Df|_w^2 d\mathfrak{m} \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1 ([Ambrosio, Gigli & Savaré '14])

(X, d, \mathfrak{m}) : infinitesimally Hilbertian

$\stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} \mathbf{Ch}$: quadratic form ($\Leftrightarrow P_t$: linear $\Leftrightarrow \Delta$: linear)

Met. meas. sp. & heat flow on it

(X, d, \mathfrak{m}) : Polish geod. met. meas. sp.

$$P_t = e^{t\Delta} \leftrightarrow \text{Cheeger's } L^2\text{-energy}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2\mathbf{Ch}(f) &:= \inf \left\{ \frac{\lim}{n} \int_X \text{lip}(f_n)^2 d\mathfrak{m} \mid f_n : \text{Lip.} \right. \\ &\quad \left. f_n \rightarrow f \text{ in } L^2 \right\} \\ &= \int_X |Df|_w^2 d\mathfrak{m} \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1 ([Ambrosio, Gigli & Savaré '14])

(X, d, \mathfrak{m}) : infinitesimally Hilbertian

$\overset{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} \mathbf{Ch}$: quadratic form ($\Leftrightarrow P_t$: linear $\Leftrightarrow \Delta$: linear)

$\Rightarrow \exists \langle D\cdot, D\cdot \rangle_w$ bilinear s.t. $\langle Df, Df \rangle_w = |Df|_w^2$

Characterizations of RCD cond.

RCD^{*}(0, N): **infin. Hilb.**, some regularity ass'ns &

$$\begin{aligned} W_2(P_s f \mathfrak{m}, P_t g \mathfrak{m})^2 \\ \leq W_2(f \mathfrak{m}, g \mathfrak{m})^2 + 2N(\sqrt{t} - \sqrt{s})^2 \end{aligned}$$

★ Equiv. cond'ns (up to reg. assn's)

- “ $\frac{1}{2}\Delta|Df|_w^2 - \langle Df, D\Delta f \rangle_w \geq \frac{1}{N}|\Delta f|^2$ ”
(Bakry-Émery's curv.-dim. cond.)
- On $(\mathcal{P}_2(X), W_2)$, $\forall \mu_0$, $\exists (\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$ sol. to
(0, N)-evolution variational inequality of Ent
(a (metric) formulation of “ $\dot{\mu}_t = -\nabla \text{Ent}(\mu_t)$ ”))

[Erbar, K. & Sturm '15]

Characterizations of RCD cond.

RCD^{*}(0, N): infin. Hilb., some regularity ass'ns &

$$\begin{aligned} W_2(P_s f \mathfrak{m}, P_t g \mathfrak{m})^2 \\ \leq W_2(f \mathfrak{m}, g \mathfrak{m})^2 + 2N(\sqrt{t} - \sqrt{s})^2 \end{aligned}$$

★ Equiv. cond'ns (up to reg. assn's)

- “ $\frac{1}{2}\Delta|Df|_w^2 - \langle Df, D\Delta f \rangle_w \geq \frac{1}{N}|\Delta f|^2$ ”
(Bakry-Émery's curv.-dim. cond.)
- On $(\mathcal{P}_2(X), W_2)$, $\forall \mu_0$, $\exists (\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$ sol. to
(0, N)-evolution variational inequality of Ent
(a (metric) formulation of “ $\dot{\mu}_t = -\nabla \text{Ent}(\mu_t)$ ”))

[Erbar, K. & Sturm '15]

Characterizations of RCD cond.

RCD^{*}(0, N): infin. Hilb., some regularity ass'ns &

$$\begin{aligned} W_2(P_s f \mathfrak{m}, P_t g \mathfrak{m})^2 \\ \leq W_2(f \mathfrak{m}, g \mathfrak{m})^2 + 2N(\sqrt{t} - \sqrt{s})^2 \end{aligned}$$

★ Equiv. cond'ns (up to reg. assn's)

- “ $\frac{1}{2}\Delta|Df|_w^2 - \langle Df, D\Delta f \rangle_w \geq \frac{1}{N}|\Delta f|^2$ ”
(Bakry-Émery's curv.-dim. cond.)
- On $(\mathcal{P}_2(X), W_2)$, $\forall \mu_0$, $\exists (\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$ sol. to
(0, N)-evolution variational inequality of Ent
(a (metric) formulation of “ $\dot{\mu}_t = -\nabla \text{Ent}(\mu_t)$ ”))

[Erbar, K. & Sturm '15]

Examples

- (X, g) : m -dim. cpl. Riem. mfd., $\partial X = \emptyset$,
 d : Riem. dist., $\mathbf{m} = e^{-V} \text{vol}_g$ ($V : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$)
(Weighted Riem. mfd)

⇓

$$\mathbf{RCD}^*(K, N) \Leftrightarrow \text{Ric} + \nabla^2 V - \frac{\nabla V^{\otimes 2}}{N-m} \geq K$$

- (Pointed) measured GH lim. of $\mathbf{RCD}^*(K, N)$ sp.'s
[... /Gigli, Mondino & Savaré '15]

Examples

- (X, g) : m -dim. cpl. Riem. mfd., $\partial X = \emptyset$,
 d : Riem. dist., $\mathbf{m} = e^{-V} \text{vol}_g$ ($V : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$)
(Weighted Riem. mfd)



$$\mathbf{RCD}^*(K, N) \Leftrightarrow \text{Ric} + \nabla^2 V - \frac{\nabla V^{\otimes 2}}{N-m} \geq K$$

- (Pointed) measured GH lim. of $\mathbf{RCD}^*(K, N)$ sp.'s
[\cdots /Gigli, Mondino & Savaré '15]

Heat flow

Properties of the heat semigr. P_t under $\mathbf{RCD}^*(K, N)$

- $P_t : L^2(\mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathfrak{m})$ can be extended to $P_t : \mathcal{P}_2(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_2(X)$
- P_t admits a continuous kernel (heat kernel) p_t
- $\mu_t = P_t\mu (= \rho_t \mathfrak{m}) \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ satisfies

$$\|\dot{\mu}_t\|^2 := \lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \frac{W_2(\mu_t, \mu_{t+\delta})^2}{\delta^2}$$

$$= - \frac{d}{dt} \text{Ent}(\mu_t) = \int \frac{|D\rho_t|_w^2}{\rho_t} d\mathfrak{m} =: I(\mu_t)$$

(Fisher information)

Heat flow

Properties of the heat semigr. P_t under $\mathbf{RCD}^*(K, N)$

- $P_t : L^2(\mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathfrak{m})$ can be extended to $P_t : \mathcal{P}_2(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_2(X)$
- P_t admits a continuous kernel (heat kernel) p_t
- $\mu_t = P_t\mu (= \rho_t \mathfrak{m}) \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ satisfies

$$\|\dot{\mu}_t\|^2 := \lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \frac{W_2(\mu_t, \mu_{t+\delta})^2}{\delta^2}$$

$$= - \frac{d}{dt} \text{Ent}(\mu_t) = \int \frac{|D\rho_t|_w^2}{\rho_t} d\mathfrak{m} =: I(\mu_t)$$

(Fisher information)

Heat flow

Properties of the heat semigr. P_t under $\mathbf{RCD}^*(K, N)$

- $P_t : L^2(\mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathfrak{m})$ can be extended to $P_t : \mathcal{P}_2(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_2(X)$
- P_t admits a continuous kernel (heat kernel) p_t
- $\mu_t = P_t \mu (= \rho_t \mathfrak{m}) \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ satisfies

$$\|\dot{\mu}_t\|^2 := \lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \frac{W_2(\mu_t, \mu_{t+\delta})^2}{\delta^2}$$

$$= - \frac{d}{dt} \text{Ent}(\mu_t) = \int \frac{|D\rho_t|_w^2}{\rho_t} d\mathfrak{m} =: I(\mu_t)$$

(Fisher information)

Heat flow

Properties of the heat semigr. P_t under $\mathbf{RCD}^*(K, N)$

- $P_t : L^2(\mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathfrak{m})$ can be extended to $P_t : \mathcal{P}_2(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_2(X)$
- P_t admits a continuous kernel (heat kernel) p_t
- $\mu_t = P_t \mu (= \rho_t \mathfrak{m}) \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ satisfies

$$\|\dot{\mu}_t\|^2 := \lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \frac{W_2(\mu_t, \mu_{t+\delta})^2}{\delta^2}$$

$$= - \frac{d}{dt} \text{Ent}(\mu_t) = \int \frac{|D\rho_t|_w^2}{\rho_t} d\mathfrak{m} =: \textcolor{blue}{I}(\mu_t)$$

(Fisher information)

1. Introduction

2. Framework: RCD spaces

3. Main results

4. Proof

4.1 Monotonicity

4.2 Rigidity

4.3 Additional remarks

\mathcal{W} -entropy

$$\mu = \rho \mathfrak{m} \in \mathcal{P}(X), \rho =: \frac{e^{-f}}{(4\pi t)^{\textcolor{blue}{N}/2}}$$

$$\mathcal{W}(\mu, t) := \int_X [t|Df|_w^2 + f - \textcolor{blue}{N}] \rho \, d\mathfrak{m}$$

\mathcal{W} -entropy

$$\mu = \rho \mathfrak{m} \in \mathcal{P}(X), \rho =: \frac{e^{-f}}{(4\pi t)^{N/2}}$$

$$\mathcal{W}(\mu, t) := \int_X [t|Df|_w^2 + f - N] \rho \, d\mathfrak{m}$$

- $I(\mu) := \int \frac{|D\rho|_w^2}{\rho} \, d\mathfrak{m}$
- $\text{Ent}(\mu) := \int_X \rho \log \rho \, d\mathfrak{m}$

\mathcal{W} -entropy

$$\mu = \rho \mathfrak{m} \in \mathcal{P}(X), \rho =: \frac{e^{-f}}{(4\pi t)^{N/2}}$$

$$\mathcal{W}(\mu, t) := \int_X [t|Df|_w^2 + f - N] \rho \, d\mathfrak{m}$$

- $I(\mu) := \int \frac{|D\rho|_w^2}{\rho} \, d\mathfrak{m}$
- $\text{Ent}(\mu) := \int_X \rho \log \rho \, d\mathfrak{m}$

\mathcal{W} -entropy

$$\mu = \rho \mathfrak{m} \in \mathcal{P}(X), \rho =: \frac{e^{-f}}{(4\pi t)^{N/2}}$$

$$\mathcal{W}(\mu, t) := \int_X [t|Df|_w^2 + \textcolor{brown}{f} - N] \, \textcolor{brown}{\rho} \, d\mathfrak{m}$$

- $I(\mu) := \int \frac{|D\rho|_w^2}{\rho} \, d\mathfrak{m}$
- $\text{Ent}(\mu) := \int_X \textcolor{brown}{\rho} \log \textcolor{brown}{\rho} \, d\mathfrak{m}$

\mathcal{W} -entropy

$$\mu = \rho \mathfrak{m} \in \mathcal{P}(X), \rho =: \frac{e^{-f}}{(4\pi t)^{N/2}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W}(\mu, t) &:= \int_X [t|Df|_w^2 + f - N] \rho \, d\mathfrak{m} \\ &= tI(\mu) - \text{Ent}(\mu) - \frac{N}{2} \log t + c_1\end{aligned}$$

- $I(\mu) := \int \frac{|D\rho|_w^2}{\rho} \, d\mathfrak{m}$
- $\text{Ent}(\mu) := \int_X \rho \log \rho \, d\mathfrak{m}$

\mathcal{W} -entropy

$\mu = \rho \mathfrak{m} \in \mathcal{P}(X)$,

$$\mathcal{W}(\mu, t) := tI(\mu) - \text{Ent}(\mu) - \frac{N}{2} \log t + c_1$$

- $I(\mu) := \int \frac{|D\rho|_w^2}{\rho} \, d\mathfrak{m}$
- $\text{Ent}(\mu) := \int_X \rho \log \rho \, d\mathfrak{m}$

\mathcal{W} -entropy

$\mu = \rho \mathfrak{m} \in \mathcal{P}(X)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W}(\mu, t) &:= tI(\mu) - \text{Ent}(\mu) - \frac{N}{2} \log t + c_1 \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} [t \text{Ent}(\mu_t) - t \text{Ent}^{\text{Eucl}}(\text{Gauss}(t))] + c\end{aligned}$$

- $I(\mu) := \int \frac{|D\rho|_w^2}{\rho} d\mathfrak{m}$
- $\text{Ent}(\mu) := \int_X \rho \log \rho d\mathfrak{m}$

Main thm

Theorem 2 ([X.-D. Li & K.])

(X, d, \mathfrak{m}) : $\mathbf{RCD}^*(0, N)$, $N \geq 2$, $\mu_t := P_t \mu$

(1) $\mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t) \searrow$ in $t \in (0, \infty)$

(2) Suppose $\exists t_* > 0$ s.t.

$$\varlimsup_{t \downarrow t_*} \frac{\mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t) - \mathcal{W}(\mu_{t_*}, t_*)}{t - t_*} = 0$$

\Rightarrow

Main thm

Theorem 2 ([X.-D. Li & K.])

(X, d, \mathfrak{m}) : $\mathbf{RCD}^*(0, N)$, $N \geq 2$, $\mu_t := P_t \mu$

(1) $\mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t) \searrow$ in $t \in (0, \infty)$

(2) Suppose $\exists t_* > 0$ s.t.

$$\varliminf_{t \downarrow t_*} \frac{\mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t) - \mathcal{W}(\mu_{t_*}, t_*)}{t - t_*} = 0$$

\Rightarrow

Main thm

Theorem 2 ([X.-D. Li & K.])

(X, d, \mathfrak{m}) : $\mathbf{RCD}^*(0, N)$, $N \geq 2$, $\mu_t := P_t \mu$

(1) $\mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t) \searrow$ in $t \in (0, \infty)$

(2) Suppose $\exists t_* > 0$ s.t.

$$\lim_{t \downarrow t_*} \frac{\mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t) - \mathcal{W}(\mu_{t_*}, t_*)}{t - t_*} = 0$$

$\Rightarrow \exists x_0 \in X$ s.t. $\mu = \delta_{x_0}$,

$X \simeq$ $(0, N-1)$ -cone of
an $\mathbf{RCD}^*(N-2, N-1)$ sp.

& $t \mapsto \mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t)$: const.

Main thm

Theorem 2 ([X.-D. Li & K.])

(X, d, \mathfrak{m}) : $\mathbf{RCD}^*(0, N)$, $N \geq 2$, $\mu_t := P_t \mu$

(1) $\mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t) \searrow$ in $t \in (0, \infty)$

(2) $\exists t_* > 0$ s.t.

$$\lim_{t \downarrow t_*} \frac{\mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t) - \mathcal{W}(\mu_{t_*}, t_*)}{t - t_*} = 0$$

$\Leftrightarrow \exists x_0 \in X$ s.t. $\mu = \delta_{x_0}$,

$X \simeq$ $(0, N-1)$ -cone of
an $\mathbf{RCD}^*(N-2, N-1)$ sp.

& $t \mapsto \mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t)$: const.

Cone

Definition 3 ((0, N)-cone)

(X, d, \mathfrak{m}) : (0, N)-cone of (Y, d_Y, \mathfrak{m}_Y)

- $X = [0, \infty) \times Y / \{\mathbf{0}\} \times Y$,
- $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} d((r, x), (s, y))^2 := r^2 + s^2 - 2rs \cos(d_Y(x, y) \wedge \pi)$
- $\mathfrak{m}(\mathrm{d}r \mathrm{d}x) := r^N \mathrm{d}r \mathfrak{m}_Y(\mathrm{d}x)$

Cone

Definition 3 ((0, N)-cone)

(X, d, \mathfrak{m}) : (0, N)-cone of (Y, d_Y, \mathfrak{m}_Y)

- $X = [0, \infty) \times Y / \{0\} \times Y,$
- $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} d((r, x), (s, y))^2$
 $= r^2 + s^2 - 2rs \cos(d_Y(x, y) \wedge \pi)$
- $\mathfrak{m}(\mathrm{d}r \mathrm{d}x) := r^N \mathrm{d}r \mathfrak{m}_Y(\mathrm{d}x)$

Cone

Definition 3 ((0, N)-cone)

(X, d, \mathfrak{m}) : (0, N)-cone of (Y, d_Y, \mathfrak{m}_Y)

- $X = [0, \infty) \times Y / \{0\} \times Y,$
- $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} d((r, x), (s, y))^2$
 $= r^2 + s^2 - 2rs \cos(d_Y(x, y) \wedge \pi)$
- $\mathfrak{m}(\mathrm{d}r \mathrm{d}x) := \color{blue}{r^N \mathrm{d}r \mathfrak{m}_Y(\mathrm{d}x)}$

Remarks

- [Jiang & Zhang '16] X : cpt. \Rightarrow Theorem 1 (1)
- In previous results, $\mu = \delta_{x_0}$ (intial data) is assumed
- Considering the right upper derivative of $\mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t)$
- $(0, N)$ -cone of Y is a (smooth) Riem. mfd
 $\Leftrightarrow Y \simeq \mathbb{S}^{N-1}(1)$
- An “almost rigidity” can be formulated

Remarks

- [Jiang & Zhang '16] X : cpt. \Rightarrow Theorem 1 (1)
- In previous results, $\mu = \delta_{x_0}$ (initial data) is assumed
- Considering the right upper derivative of $\mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t)$
- $(0, N)$ -cone of Y is a (smooth) Riem. mfd
 $\Leftrightarrow Y \simeq \mathbb{S}^{N-1}(1)$
- An “almost rigidity” can be formulated

Remarks

- [Jiang & Zhang '16] X : cpt. \Rightarrow Theorem 1 (1)
- In previous results, $\mu = \delta_{x_0}$ (initial data) is assumed
 \rightsquigarrow It is a conclusion in Theorem 1
- Considering the right upper derivative of $\mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t)$
- $(0, N)$ -cone of Y is a (smooth) Riem. mfd
 $\Leftrightarrow Y \simeq \mathbb{S}^{N-1}(1)$
- An “almost rigidity” can be formulated

Remarks

- [Jiang & Zhang '16] X : cpt. \Rightarrow Theorem 1 (1)
- In previous results, $\mu = \delta_{x_0}$ (intial data) is assumed
 \rightsquigarrow It is a conclusion in Theorem 1
- Considering the right upper derivative of $\mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t)$
- $(0, N)$ -cone of Y is a (smooth) Riem. mfd
 $\Leftrightarrow Y \simeq \mathbb{S}^{N-1}(1)$
- An “almost rigidity” can be formulated

Remarks

- [Jiang & Zhang '16] X : cpt. \Rightarrow Theorem 1 (1)
- In previous results, $\mu = \delta_{x_0}$ (intial data) is assumed
 - ~ It is a conclusion in Theorem 1
- Considering the right upper derivative of $\mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t)$
 - ~ Requires no differentiability
- $(0, N)$ -cone of Y is a (smooth) Riem. mfd
 - $\Leftrightarrow Y \simeq \mathbb{S}^{N-1}(1)$
- An “almost rigidity” can be formulated

Remarks

- [Jiang & Zhang '16] X : cpt. \Rightarrow Theorem 1 (1)
- In previous results, $\mu = \delta_{x_0}$ (initial data) is assumed
 - ~ It is a conclusion in Theorem 1
- Considering the right upper derivative of $\mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t)$
 - ~ Requires no differentiability
- $(0, N)$ -cone of Y is a (smooth) Riem. mfd
 - $\Leftrightarrow Y \simeq \mathbb{S}^{N-1}(1)$
- An “almost rigidity” can be formulated

Remarks

- [Jiang & Zhang '16] X : cpt. \Rightarrow Theorem 1 (1)
- In previous results, $\mu = \delta_{x_0}$ (intial data) is assumed
 - ~ \rightsquigarrow It is a conclusion in Theorem 1
- Considering the right upper derivative of $\mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t)$
 - ~ \rightsquigarrow Requires no differentiability
- $(0, N)$ -cone of Y is a (smooth) Riem. mfd
 - $\Leftrightarrow Y \simeq \mathbb{S}^{N-1}(1)$
 - ~ \rightsquigarrow Theorem 1 covers previous results
 - for weighted Riem. mfds
- An “almost rigidity” can be formulated

Remarks

- [Jiang & Zhang '16] X : cpt. \Rightarrow Theorem 1 (1)
- In previous results, $\mu = \delta_{x_0}$ (intial data) is assumed
 - ~ \rightsquigarrow It is a conclusion in Theorem 1
- Considering the right upper derivative of $\mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t)$
 - ~ \rightsquigarrow Requires no differentiability
- $(0, N)$ -cone of Y is a (smooth) Riem. mfd
 - $\Leftrightarrow Y \simeq \mathbb{S}^{N-1}(1)$ ($\Rightarrow \dim X = N \in \mathbb{N}$)
 - ~ \rightsquigarrow Theorem 1 covers previous results
 - for weighted Riem. mfds
- An “almost rigidity” can be formulated

Remarks

- [Jiang & Zhang '16] X : cpt. \Rightarrow Theorem 1 (1)
- In previous results, $\mu = \delta_{x_0}$ (intial data) is assumed
 - ~ \rightsquigarrow It is a conclusion in Theorem 1
- Considering the right upper derivative of $\mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t)$
 - ~ \rightsquigarrow Requires no differentiability
- $(0, N)$ -cone of Y is a (smooth) Riem. mfd
 - $\Leftrightarrow Y \simeq \mathbb{S}^{N-1}(1)$ ($\Rightarrow \dim X = N \in \mathbb{N}$)
 - ~ \rightsquigarrow Theorem 1 covers previous results
 - for weighted Riem. mfds
- An “almost rigidity” can be formulated

1. Introduction

2. Framework: RCD spaces

3. Main results

4. Proof

4.1 Monotonicity

4.2 Rigidity

4.3 Additional remarks

4.1. Monotonicity

(cf. [Topping '09] on (backward) Ricci flow)

Derivation from $\text{RCD}^*(0, N)$

$$\star t h(t) := t^2 I(\mu_t) - \frac{Nt}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t) = \frac{1}{t} \frac{d}{dt} (t h(t))}$$

Derivation from $\text{RCD}^*(0, N)$

$$\star t h(t) := t^2 I(\mu_t) - \frac{Nt}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t) = \frac{1}{t} \frac{d}{dt} (t h(t))}$$

$$W_2(P_{t'}\mu', P_{s'}\nu')^2 \leq W_2(\mu', \nu')^2 + 2N(\sqrt{t'} - \sqrt{s'})^2$$

Derivation from $\text{RCD}^*(0, N)$

$$\star t h(t) := t^2 I(\mu_t) - \frac{Nt}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t) = \frac{1}{t} \frac{d}{dt} (t h(t))''}$$

$$W_2(\mu_t, \mu_{(1+\delta)t})^2 \leq W_2(\mu_s, \mu_{(1+\delta)s})^2 + \dots$$

$$\Downarrow \quad \boxed{\text{``}\overline{\lim}_{\delta \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\delta^2}\text{''} \text{ with } \|\dot{\mu}_t\|^2 = I(\mu_t)}$$

Derivation from $\text{RCD}^*(0, N)$

★ $t h(t) := t^2 I(\mu_t) - \frac{Nt}{2}$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t) = \frac{1}{t} \frac{d}{dt} (t h(t))''}$$

$$W_2(\mu_t, \mu_{(1+\delta)t})^2 \leq W_2(\mu_s, \mu_{(1+\delta)s})^2 + \dots$$

$$\Downarrow \quad \boxed{\text{“}\overline{\lim}_{\delta \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\delta^2}\text{” with } \|\dot{\mu}_t\|^2 = I(\mu_t)}$$

$$t^2 I(\mu_t) \leq s^2 I(\mu_s) - \frac{N}{2}(t - s)$$

Derivation from $\text{RCD}^*(0, N)$

★ $t h(t) := t^2 I(\mu_t) - \frac{Nt}{2}$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{W}(\mu_t, t) = \frac{1}{t} \frac{d}{dt} (t h(t))''}$$

$$W_2(\mu_t, \mu_{(1+\delta)t})^2 \leq W_2(\mu_s, \mu_{(1+\delta)s})^2 + \dots$$

$$\Downarrow \quad \boxed{\text{“}\overline{\lim}_{\delta \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\delta^2}\text{” with } \|\dot{\mu}_t\|^2 = I(\mu_t)}$$

$$t^2 I(\mu_t) \leq s^2 I(\mu_s) - \frac{N}{2}(t - s)$$

$$\Rightarrow t h(t) \searrow \text{in } t$$

□

4.2. Rigidity

Geometric properties of $\text{RCD}^*(0, N)$ sp.

- Laplacian comparison thm [Gigli '15]:

$$\Delta d(x_0, \cdot)^2 \leq 2N \text{ in the distributional sense}$$

- Volume rigidity [Gigli & De Philippis]: $N \geq 2$,

$$\exists x_0, \forall r, R > 0, m(B_R(x_0)) = \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^N m(B_r(x_0))$$

$\Rightarrow X \simeq$ $(0, N - 1)$ -cone of
an $\text{RCD}^*(N - 2, N - 1)$ sp.

Geometric properties of $\text{RCD}^*(0, N)$ sp.

- Laplacian comparison thm [Gigli '15]:

$$\Delta d(x_0, \cdot)^2 \leq 2N \text{ in the distributional sense}$$

- Volume rigidity [Gigli & De Philippis]: $N \geq 2$,

$$\exists x_0, \forall r, R > 0, \mathfrak{m}(B_R(x_0)) = \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^N \mathfrak{m}(B_r(x_0))$$

$\Rightarrow X \simeq$ (0, $N - 1$)-cone of
an $\text{RCD}^*(N - 2, N - 1)$ sp.

Identification of Fisher info.

For simplicity, suppose $\mu = \delta_{x_0}$ ($\Rightarrow \mu_t = p_t^{x_0} \mathfrak{m}$)

$$h(t) = tI(\mu_t) - \frac{N}{2}, \quad t h(t) \searrow$$

Identification of Fisher info.

For simplicity, suppose $\mu = \delta_{x_0}$ ($\Rightarrow \mu_t = p_t^{x_0} \mathfrak{m}$)

$$h(t) = tI(\mu_t) - \frac{N}{2}, \quad t h(t) \searrow$$

★ “ $\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{W} = 0$ ” & **RCD***(0, N) cond.

$$\Rightarrow h(t) = 0, \text{ i.e. } I(\mu_t) = \frac{N}{2t} \quad (t \in (0, t_*])$$

Equality in Laplacian comparison

$$I(\mu_t) = \frac{N}{2t} \quad (t \in (0, t_*])$$

$$\text{Li-Yau: } -\Delta \log p_t^{x_0} = \frac{|Dp_t^{x_0}|^2}{(p_t^{x_0})^2} - \frac{\Delta p_t^{x_0}}{p_t^{x_0}} \leq \frac{N}{2t}$$

[Garofalo & Mondino '14/Jiang '15]

Equality in Laplacian comparison

$$I(\mu_t) = \frac{N}{2t} \quad (t \in (0, t_*])$$

Li-Yau: $-\Delta \log p_t^{x_0} = \frac{|Dp_t^{x_0}|^2}{(p_t^{x_0})^2} - \frac{\Delta p_t^{x_0}}{p_t^{x_0}} \leq \frac{N}{2t}$

[Garofalo & Mondino '14/Jiang '15]

$$\Rightarrow -\Delta \log p_t^{x_0} = \frac{N}{2t} \text{ m-a.e.}$$

Equality in Laplacian comparison

$$I(\mu_t) = \frac{N}{2t} \quad (t \in (0, t_*])$$

Li-Yau: $-\Delta \log p_t^{x_0} = \frac{|Dp_t^{x_0}|^2}{(p_t^{x_0})^2} - \frac{\Delta p_t^{x_0}}{p_t^{x_0}} \leq \frac{N}{2t}$

[Garofalo & Mondino '14/Jiang '15]

$$\Rightarrow -\Delta \log p_t^{x_0} = \frac{N}{2t} \text{ m-a.e.}$$

$$\Downarrow \quad \boxed{\lim_{t \downarrow 0} 4t \log p_t^{x_0}(x) = -d(x_0, x)^2}$$

[Jiang, Li & Zhang '16]

$$\Delta d(x_0, \cdot)^2 = 2N$$

Equality in Laplacian comparison

$$I(\mu_t) = \frac{N}{2t} \quad (t \in (0, t_*])$$

Li-Yau: $-\Delta \log p_t^{x_0} = \frac{|Dp_t^{x_0}|^2}{(p_t^{x_0})^2} - \frac{\Delta p_t^{x_0}}{p_t^{x_0}} \leq \frac{N}{2t}$

[Garofalo & Mondino '14/Jiang '15]

$$\Rightarrow -\Delta \log p_t^{x_0} = \frac{N}{2t} \text{ m-a.e.}$$

$$\Downarrow \quad \boxed{\lim_{t \downarrow 0} 4t \log p_t^{x_0}(x) = -d(x_0, x)^2}$$

[Jiang, Li & Zhang '16]

$$\Delta d(x_0, \cdot)^2 = 2N \Rightarrow \text{Volume rigidity}$$

□

4.3. Additional remarks

Heat kernel

Proposition 1

Suppose $\Delta d(x_0, \cdot)^2 = 2N$. Then $\exists C, C' > 0$ s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} p_t(x_0, x) &= \frac{C}{t^{N/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{d(x_0, x)^2}{4t}\right) \\ &= \frac{C'}{\mathfrak{m}(B_{\sqrt{t}}(x_0))} \exp\left(-\frac{d(x_0, x)^2}{4t}\right) \end{aligned}$$

\therefore Compute I for “Gaussian kernel” in two ways &
RHS enjoys the energy dissipation identity for Ent □

Heat kernel

Proposition 1

Suppose $\Delta d(x_0, \cdot)^2 = 2N$. Then $\exists C, C' > 0$ s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} p_t(x_0, x) &= \frac{C}{t^{N/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{d(x_0, x)^2}{4t}\right) \\ &= \frac{C'}{\mathfrak{m}(B_{\sqrt{t}}(x_0))} \exp\left(-\frac{d(x_0, x)^2}{4t}\right) \end{aligned}$$

\therefore Compute I for “Gaussian kernel” in two ways &
RHS enjoys the energy dissipation identity for Ent □

Heat kernel

Proposition 1

Suppose $\Delta d(x_0, \cdot)^2 = 2N$. Then $\exists C, C' > 0$ s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} p_t(x_0, x) &= \frac{C}{t^{N/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{d(x_0, x)^2}{4t}\right) \\ &= \frac{C'}{\mathfrak{m}(B_{\sqrt{t}}(x_0))} \exp\left(-\frac{d(x_0, x)^2}{4t}\right) \end{aligned}$$

\therefore Compute I for “Gaussian kernel” in two ways &
RHS enjoys the energy dissipation identity for Ent □

Heat kernel

Proposition 1

Suppose $\Delta d(x_0, \cdot)^2 = 2N$. Then $\exists C, C' > 0$ s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} p_t(x_0, x) &= \frac{C}{t^{N/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{d(x_0, x)^2}{4t}\right) \\ &= \frac{C'}{\mathfrak{m}(B_{\sqrt{t}}(x_0))} \exp\left(-\frac{d(x_0, x)^2}{4t}\right) \end{aligned}$$

In particular, X is *non-compact*

\therefore Compute I for “Gaussian kernel” in two ways &
RHS enjoys the energy dissipation identity for Ent □

Initial data

Lemma 2

Suppose $I(\mu_t) = \frac{N}{2t}$. Then $I(p_t^{\textcolor{blue}{x}} \mathfrak{m}) = \frac{N}{2t}$ μ -a.e. $\textcolor{blue}{x}$.

$\because \mu \mapsto I(\mu)$ convex

$$\Rightarrow \frac{N}{2t} = I(\mu_t) \text{ ``\leq'' } \int_X I(p_t^x) \mu(dx) \stackrel{\text{Li-Yau}}{\leq} \frac{N}{2t} \quad \square$$

Initial data

Lemma 2

Suppose $I(\mu_t) = \frac{N}{2t}$. Then $I(p_t^x \mathfrak{m}) = \frac{N}{2t}$ μ -a.e. x .

$\because \mu \mapsto I(\mu)$ convex

$$\Rightarrow \frac{N}{2t} = I(\mu_t) \text{ "}" \leq \int_X I(p_t^x) \mu(dx) \stackrel{\text{Li-Yau}}{\leq} \frac{N}{2t} \quad \square$$

Initial data

Lemma 2

Suppose $I(\mu_t) = \frac{N}{2t}$. Then $I(p_t^x \mathfrak{m}) = \frac{N}{2t}$ μ -a.e. x .

$\because \mu \mapsto I(\mu)$ convex

$$\Rightarrow \frac{N}{2t} = I(\mu_t) \text{ "}" \leq \int_X I(p_t^x) \mu(dx) \stackrel{\text{Li-Yau}}{\leq} \frac{N}{2t} \quad \square$$

Lemma 3

Suppose $I(\mu_t) = \frac{N}{2t}$. Then μ is Dirac.

$$\because \text{Reduce to } \mu = \frac{\delta_x + \delta_y}{2} \Rightarrow \frac{|Dp_t^x|}{p_t^x} = \frac{|Dp_t^y|}{p_t^y}$$

Initial data

Lemma 2

Suppose $I(\mu_t) = \frac{N}{2t}$. Then $I(p_t^x \mathfrak{m}) = \frac{N}{2t}$ μ -a.e. x .

$\because \mu \mapsto I(\mu)$ convex

$$\Rightarrow \frac{N}{2t} = I(\mu_t) \text{ "}" \leq \int_X I(p_t^x) \mu(dx) \stackrel{\text{Li-Yau}}{\leq} \frac{N}{2t} \quad \square$$

Lemma 3

Suppose $I(\mu_t) = \frac{N}{2t}$. Then μ is Dirac.

$$\because \text{Reduce to } \mu = \frac{\delta_x + \delta_y}{2} \Rightarrow \frac{|Dp_t^x|}{p_t^x} = \frac{|Dp_t^y|}{p_t^y}$$

Initial data

Lemma 2

Suppose $I(\mu_t) = \frac{N}{2t}$. Then $I(p_t^x \mathfrak{m}) = \frac{N}{2t}$ μ -a.e. x .

$\because \mu \mapsto I(\mu)$ convex

$$\Rightarrow \frac{N}{2t} = I(\mu_t) \text{ "}" \leq \int_X I(p_t^x) \mu(dx) \stackrel{\text{Li-Yau}}{\leq} \frac{N}{2t} \quad \square$$

Lemma 3

Suppose $I(\mu_t) = \frac{N}{2t}$. Then μ is Dirac.

$$\therefore \frac{|Dp_t^x|}{p_t^x} = \frac{|Dp_t^y|}{p_t^y} \Rightarrow d(x, \cdot) = d(y, \cdot) \quad \square$$

Heat flow is a W_2 -geodesic

Proposition 4

Suppose $\Delta d(x_0, \cdot)^2 = 2N$ and $\mu_t = P_t \delta_{x_0}$.
 $\Rightarrow (\mu_{t^2/(2N)})_{t \geq 0}$: W_2 -min. geod.

∴

- $\frac{N}{2t} = I(\mu_t) = \frac{1}{4t^2} \int_X d(x_0, x)^2 \mu_t(dx)$
- $W_2(\mu_0, \mu_t)^2 = \int_X d(x_0, x)^2 \mu_t(dx)$
- $\|\dot{\mu}_t\|^2 = I(\mu_t)$

Heat flow is a W_2 -geodesic

Proposition 4

Suppose $\Delta d(x_0, \cdot)^2 = 2N$ and $\mu_t = P_t \delta_{x_0}$.
 $\Rightarrow (\mu_{t^2/(2N)})_{t \geq 0}$: W_2 -min. geod.

∴

- $\frac{N}{2t} = I(\mu_t) = \frac{1}{4t^2} \int_X d(x_0, x)^2 \mu_t(dx)$
- $W_2(\mu_0, \mu_t)^2 = \int_X d(x_0, x)^2 \mu_t(dx)$
- $\|\dot{\mu}_t\|^2 = I(\mu_t)$

Heat flow is a W_2 -geodesic

Proposition 4

Suppose $\Delta d(x_0, \cdot)^2 = 2N$ and $\mu_t = P_t \delta_{x_0}$.
 $\Rightarrow (\mu_{t^2/(2N)})_{t \geq 0}$: W_2 -min. geod.

∴

- $\frac{N}{2t} = I(\mu_t) = \frac{1}{4t^2} \int_X d(x_0, x)^2 \mu_t(dx)$
- $W_2(\mu_0, \mu_t)^2 = \int_X d(x_0, x)^2 \mu_t(dx)$
- $\|\dot{\mu}_t\|^2 = I(\mu_t)$

$\Rightarrow \mu_t^* := \mu_{t^2/(2N)}$ satisfies

$$W_2(\mu_0^*, \mu_t^*) = t \text{ & } \|\dot{\mu}_t^*\| = 1$$

□