

On the speed in transportation costs of heat distributions

Kazumasa Kuwada

(Tokyo Institute of Technology)

UK-Japan Stochastic Analysis School
The University of Warwick, Sept. 1–5, 2014

1. Introduction

Speed in transportation cost

$(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$: stochastic process on M

$\Downarrow \Uparrow$

$(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$: curve in $\mathcal{P}(M)$, $\mu_t := \mathbb{P} \circ X_t^{-1}$

Speed in transportation cost

$(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$: stochastic process on M

$\Downarrow \Uparrow$

$(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$: curve in $\mathcal{P}(M)$, $\mu_t := \mathbb{P} \circ X_t^{-1}$

Q. $\mathcal{T}_c(\mu_t, \mu_s) \approx ? \quad (s \rightarrow t)$

$$\mathcal{T}_c(\mu, \nu) := \inf \left\{ \int_{M \times M} c \, d\pi \mid \begin{array}{l} \pi: \text{coupling of} \\ \mu \text{ and } \nu \end{array} \right\}$$

(Optimal transportation cost for a cost function c)

Background

$\mu_t = e^{t\Delta} \mu_0$: heat dist. on a met. meas. sp. (M, d, ν)

\Rightarrow “ $\partial_t \mu_t = -\nabla \text{Ent}_\nu(\mu_t)$ ” w.r.t. $W_2 = (\mathcal{T}_{d^2})^{1/2}$

[Jordan, Kinderlehrer & Otto '98]

[Ambrosio, Gigli & Savaré '05, ...]

Background

$\mu_t = e^{t\Delta} \mu_0$: heat dist. on a met. meas. sp. (M, d, ν)

\Rightarrow “ $\partial_t \mu_t = -\nabla \text{Ent}_\nu(\mu_t)$ ” w.r.t. $W_2 = (\mathcal{T}_{d^2})^{1/2}$

[Jordan, Kinderlehrer & Otto '98]

[Ambrosio, Gigli & Savaré '05, ...]

$$“\partial_t \text{Ent}_\nu(\mu_t) = -\langle \nabla \text{Ent}_\nu, \partial_t \mu_t \rangle = -|\partial_t \mu_t|^2”$$

Background

$\mu_t = e^{t\Delta} \mu_0$: heat dist. on a met. meas. sp. (M, d, ν)

\Rightarrow “ $\partial_t \mu_t = -\nabla \text{Ent}_\nu(\mu_t)$ ” w.r.t. $W_2 = (\mathcal{T}_{d^2})^{1/2}$

[Jordan, Kinderlehrer & Otto '98]

[Ambrosio, Gigli & Savaré '05, ...]

$$“\partial_t \text{Ent}_\nu(\mu_t) = -\langle \nabla \text{Ent}_\nu, \partial_t \mu_t \rangle = -|\partial_t \mu_t|^2”$$

$$\overline{\lim}_{s \downarrow t} \left(\frac{W_2(\mu_s, \mu_t)}{s - t} \right)^2 \stackrel{\Downarrow}{=} \int_M \frac{|\nabla \rho_t|^2}{\rho_t} d\nu =: I(\mu_t)$$

(Fisher information)

Background

“Hess Ent_{*v*} ≥ *K*” (⇔ “Ric ≥ *K*”) for *K* ∈ ℝ

↓

$$W_2(\mu_t^{(0)}, \mu_t^{(1)}) \leq e^{-Kt} W_2(\mu_0^{(0)}, \mu_0^{(1)})$$

↓

$$W_2(\mu_t, \mu_{t+s}) \leq e^{-Kt} W_2(\mu_0, \mu_s)$$

Background

“Hess Ent_{*v*} ≥ *K*” (⇔ “Ric ≥ *K*”) for *K* ∈ ℝ

↓

$$W_2(\mu_t^{(0)}, \mu_t^{(1)}) \leq e^{-Kt} W_2(\mu_0^{(0)}, \mu_0^{(1)})$$

↓

$$W_2(\mu_t, \mu_{t+s}) \leq e^{-Kt} W_2(\mu_0, \mu_s)$$

↓

$$I(\mu_t) \leq e^{-2Kt} I(\mu_0)$$

(⇒ log Sobolev ineq. (when *K* > 0))

Questions

- What happens for other transportation costs?
- What happens when there is no gradient flow structure?

Outline of the talk

- 1. Introduction**
- 2. Heat distributions on backward Ricci flow**
- 3. Idea of the proof**
- 4. Further problems**

1. Introduction

2. Heat distributions on backward Ricci flow

3. Idea of the proof

4. Further problems

Framework

- $(M, g(t))$: cpl. Riem. mfds., $t \in [0, T]$
 $\partial_t g(t) = 2 \operatorname{Ric}_t$ (backward Ricci flow)
- $((X(t))_{t \geq 0}, (\mathbb{P}_x)_{x \in M})$: $g(t)$ -Brownian motion
 $\iff \Delta_{g(t)}$: generator
 $\mu_t = \mathbb{P}_{\mu_0} \circ X(t)^{-1}$: heat dist.
- v_t : $g(t)$ -volume meas., $\mu_t = \rho_t v_t$
★ $\partial_t v_t = R_t v_t$ (R_t : $g(t)$ -scalar curv.)

Ass. $\sup_t |\operatorname{Rm}_t|_{g(t)} < \infty$ (Rm_t : $g(t)$ -curv. tensor)

$$\partial_t \mu_t \neq -\nabla \text{Ent}_{v_t}(\mu_t)$$

$$\text{Ent}_{v_t}(\mu_t) := \int_M \rho_t \log \rho_t dv_t = \int_M \log \rho_t d\mu_t$$

★ $\partial_t \mu_t = \Delta_t \mu_t$ (weakly)

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \partial_t \text{Ent}_{v_t}(\mu_t) &= - \int_M \left(\frac{|\nabla \rho_t|^2}{\rho_t^2} + R_t \right) d\mu_t \\ &=: -\mathcal{F}(\mu_t) \quad (\mathcal{F}\text{-functional}) \end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow No monotonicity of $\text{Ent}_{v_t}(\mu_t)$!

W_2 -contraction

Observation

When $g(t) \equiv g_0$, $\partial_t g(t) = 2 \text{Ric}_t \Rightarrow \text{Ric} \equiv 0$

W_2 -contraction

Observation

When $g(t) \equiv g_0$, $\partial_t g(t) = 2 \operatorname{Ric}_t \Rightarrow \operatorname{Ric} \equiv 0$

★ $\mathcal{T}_{d_t^2}(\mu_t^{(0)}, \mu_t^{(1)}) \searrow$ [McCann & Topping '10],
[Arnaudon, Coulibaly & Thalmaier '09], [K. '12]

W_2 -contraction

Observation

When $g(t) \equiv g_0$, $\partial_t g(t) = 2 \operatorname{Ric}_t \Rightarrow \operatorname{Ric} \equiv 0$

★ $\mathcal{T}_{d_t^2}(\mu_t^{(0)}, \mu_t^{(1)}) \searrow$ [McCann & Topping '10],
[Arnaudon, Coulibaly & Thalmaier '09], [K. '12]

$\not\Rightarrow$ $\mathcal{T}_{d_t^2}(\mu_t, \mu_{t+s}) \searrow$ (time-inhomogeneity)

W_2 -contraction

Observation

When $g(t) \equiv g_0$, $\partial_t g(t) = 2 \operatorname{Ric}_t \Rightarrow \operatorname{Ric} \equiv 0$

★ $\mathcal{T}_{d_t^2}(\mu_t^{(0)}, \mu_t^{(1)}) \searrow$ [McCann & Topping '10],
[Arnaudon, Coulibaly & Thalmaier '09], [K. '12]

★ $\mathcal{T}_{L_0^{t,t+s}}(\mu_t, \mu_{t+s}) \searrow$ in t [Lott '09], [Amaba & K.]

$$L_0^{t,t'}(x, y) := \inf_{\substack{\gamma(t)=x, \\ \gamma(t')=y}} \left[\int_t^{t'} (|\dot{\gamma}(r)|_r^2 + R_r(\gamma(r))) dr \right]$$

(L_0 -distance; introduced by Lott)

Monotonicity of \mathcal{F}

Theorem 1

Suppose $\text{Ent}_{v_0}(\mu_0) < \infty$ and $\mathcal{F}(\mu_0) < \infty$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{s \downarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{T}_{L_0^{t,t+s}}(\mu_t, \mu_{t+s})}{s} = \mathcal{F}(\mu_t) \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, T]$$

Corollary 2

$$\mathcal{F}(\mu_t) \searrow$$

- Rem: $g(t) \equiv g$, $\text{Ric} \geq 0 \Rightarrow I(\mu_t) \searrow$
- [Lott '09] when M : cpt.
by Eulerian calculus (requires smoothness)

\mathcal{L} -transportation cost

$$L^{t,t'}(x, y) := \inf_{\substack{\gamma(t)=x, \\ \gamma(t')=y}} \left[\int_t^{t'} \sqrt{r} (|\dot{\gamma}(r)|_r^2 + R_r) dr \right]$$

(L -distance; introduced by Perelman)

★ For $\tau_1 < \tau_2$ fixed,

$$\Xi_{\tau_1, \tau_2}(t) := (\sqrt{\tau_2 t} - \sqrt{\tau_1 t}) \mathcal{T}_{L^{\tau_1 t, \tau_2 t}}(\mu_{\tau_1 t}, \mu_{\tau_2 t}) - m(\sqrt{\tau_2 t} - \sqrt{\tau_1 t})^2$$

$\Rightarrow \Xi_{\tau_1, \tau_2}(t) \searrow$ [Topping '09], [K. & Philipowski '11]

Monotonicity of \mathcal{W} -entropy

Theorem 3

Suppose $\text{Ent}_{v_0}(\mu_0) < \infty$ and $\mathcal{F}(\mu_0) < \infty$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{s \downarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{T}_{L^{t,t+s}}(\mu_t, \mu_{t+s})}{s} = \sqrt{t} \mathcal{F}(\mu_t) \text{ a.e. } t \in (0, T]$$

Corollary 4

$$t^2 \mathcal{F}(\mu_t) - \frac{mt}{2} \searrow. \text{ In particular, } \mathcal{W}(\mu_t) \searrow$$

$$\mathcal{W}(t) := t \mathcal{F}(\mu_t) - \text{Ent}(\mu_t) - m \left(1 + \frac{\log(4\pi t)}{2} \right)$$

- [Topping '09] when M : cpt. by optimal transport

1. Introduction

2. Heat distributions on backward Ricci flow

3. Idea of the proof

4. Further problems

Kantorovich duality

Observation: W_2 in the case $g(t) \equiv g$

$$\frac{W_2(\mu_t, \mu_{t+s})^2}{2s} = \sup_{\varphi \in C_b} \left[\int_M Q_s \varphi d\mu_{t+s} - \int_M \varphi d\mu_t \right]$$

$$Q_s \varphi(x) := \inf_{y \in M} \left(\varphi(y) + \frac{d(y, x)^2}{2s} \right)$$

Kantorovich duality

Observation: W_2 in the case $g(t) \equiv g$

$$\frac{W_2(\mu_t, \mu_{t+s})^2}{2s} = \sup_{\varphi \in C_b} \left[\int_M Q_s \varphi d\mu_{t+s} - \int_M \varphi d\mu_t \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q_s \varphi(x) &:= \inf_{y \in M} \left(\varphi(y) + \frac{d(y, x)^2}{2s} \right) \\ &= \inf_{\substack{\gamma(t)=y \\ \gamma(t+s)=x}} \left(\varphi(\gamma(t)) + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^{t+s} |\dot{\gamma}(r)|^2 dr \right) \end{aligned}$$

(Hopf-Lax semigroup)

Kantorovich duality

Observation: W_2 in the case $g(t) \equiv g$

$$\frac{W_2(\mu_t, \mu_{t+s})^2}{2s} = \sup_{\varphi \in C_b} \left[\int_M Q_s \varphi d\mu_{t+s} - \int_M \varphi d\mu_t \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q_s \varphi(x) &:= \inf_{y \in M} \left(\varphi(y) + \frac{d(y, x)^2}{2s} \right) \\ &= \inf_{\substack{\gamma(t)=y \\ \gamma(t+s)=x}} \left(\varphi(\gamma(t)) + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^{t+s} |\dot{\gamma}(r)|^2 dr \right) \end{aligned}$$

(Hopf-Lax semigroup)

$$\star \partial_s Q_s \varphi + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla Q_s \varphi|^2 = 0 \quad (\text{Hamilton-Jacobi eq.})$$

Upper bound

$$\frac{W_2(\mu_t, \mu_{t+s})^2}{2s} = \sup_{\varphi \in C_b} \left[\int_M Q_s \varphi d\mu_{t+s} - \int_M \varphi d\mu_t \right]$$

$$[\dots] = \int_0^s \left(\partial_r \int_M Q_r \varphi d\mu_{t+r} \right) dr$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_r(\dots) &= \int \left(-\langle \nabla Q_r \varphi, \frac{\nabla \rho_{t+r}}{\rho_{t+r}} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla Q_r \varphi|^2 \right) d\mu_{t+r} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} I(\mu_{t+r}) \end{aligned}$$

Upper bound

$$\frac{W_2(\mu_t, \mu_{t+s})^2}{2s} = \sup_{\varphi \in C_b} \left[\int_M Q_s \varphi d\mu_{t+s} - \int_M \varphi d\mu_t \right]$$

$$[\dots] = \int_0^s \left(\partial_r \int_M Q_r \varphi d\mu_{t+r} \right) dr$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_r(\dots) &= \int \left(-\langle \nabla Q_r \varphi, \frac{\nabla \rho_{t+r}}{\rho_{t+r}} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla Q_r \varphi|^2 \right) d\mu_{t+r} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} I(\mu_{t+r}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\overline{\lim}_{s \downarrow t} \frac{W_2(\mu_t, \mu_{t+s})^2}{s^2} \leq \overline{\lim}_{s \downarrow t} \frac{1}{s} \int_t^{t+s} I(\mu_r) dr = I(\mu_t)$$

Lower bound

$$\frac{W_2(\mu_t, \mu_{t+s})^2}{2s} = \sup_{\varphi \in C_b} \left[\int_M Q_s \varphi d\mu_{t+s} - \int_M \varphi d\mu_t \right]$$

$$\lim_{s \downarrow t} \frac{1}{s} \left[\int_M Q_s \varphi d\mu_{t+s} - \int_M \varphi d\mu_t \right]$$

$$= \int \left(-\langle \nabla \varphi, \frac{\nabla \rho_t}{\rho_t} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \varphi|^2 \right) d\mu_t$$

Lower bound

$$\frac{W_2(\mu_t, \mu_{t+s})^2}{2s} = \sup_{\varphi \in C_b} \left[\int_M Q_s \varphi d\mu_{t+s} - \int_M \varphi d\mu_t \right]$$

$$\lim_{s \downarrow t} \frac{1}{s} \left[\int_M Q_s \varphi d\mu_{t+s} - \int_M \varphi d\mu_t \right]$$

$$\text{"="} \int \left(-\langle \nabla \varphi, \frac{\nabla \rho_t}{\rho_t} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \varphi|^2 \right) d\mu_t$$

$$\Downarrow \varphi = -\log \rho_t$$

Lower bound

$$\frac{W_2(\mu_t, \mu_{t+s})^2}{2s} = \sup_{\varphi \in C_b} \left[\int_M Q_s \varphi d\mu_{t+s} - \int_M \varphi d\mu_t \right]$$

$$\lim_{s \downarrow t} \frac{1}{s} \left[\int_M Q_s \varphi d\mu_{t+s} - \int_M \varphi d\mu_t \right]$$

$$\text{"="} \int \left(-\langle \nabla \varphi, \frac{\nabla \rho_t}{\rho_t} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \varphi|^2 \right) d\mu_t$$

$$\Downarrow \varphi = -\log \rho_t$$

$$\lim_{s \downarrow t} \frac{W_2(\mu_t, \mu_{t+s})^2}{s^2} \geq I(\mu_t)$$

Outline of the proof of Thm1

- Kantorovich duality / Hopf-Lax semigr. for $L_0^{t,t+s}$
- Difficulty: Dependency on t of geometry
No a priori integrability of $\nabla \rho_t$ & $\Delta_t \rho_t$

Outline of the proof of Thm1

- Kantorovich duality / Hopf-Lax semigr. for $L_0^{t,t+s}$
- Difficulty: Dependency on t of geometry

No a priori integrability of $\nabla \rho_t$ & $\Delta_t \rho_t$

Outline of the proof of Thm1

- Kantorovich duality / Hopf-Lax semigr. for $L_0^{t,t+s}$
- Difficulty: Dependency on t of geometry
No a priori integrability of $\nabla \rho_t$ & $\Delta_t \rho_t$

Outline of the proof of Thm1

- Kantorovich duality / Hopf-Lax semigr. for $L_0^{t,t+s}$
- Difficulty: Dependency on t of geometry
 - No a priori integrability of $\nabla \rho_t$ & $\Delta_t \rho_t$
 - \Rightarrow Approximation

Outline of the proof of Thm1

- Kantorovich duality / Hopf-Lax semigr. for $L_0^{t,t+s}$
- Difficulty: Dependency on t of geometry
 - No a priori integrability of $\nabla \rho_t$ & $\Delta_t \rho_t$
 - \Rightarrow Approximation
- † Use \exists of heat kernel & Gaussian (upper) bound

Outline of the proof of Thm1

- Kantorovich duality / Hopf-Lax semigr. for $L_0^{t,t+s}$
- Difficulty: Dependency on t of geometry

No a priori integrability of $\nabla \rho_t$ & $\Delta_t \rho_t$

\Rightarrow Approximation

† Use \exists of heat kernel & Gaussian (upper) bound

† Show $\text{Ent}_{v_s}(\mu_s) - \text{Ent}_{v_t}(\mu_t) \geq \int_s^t \mathcal{F}(\mu_r) dr$

Outline of the proof of Thm1

- Kantorovich duality / Hopf-Lax semigr. for $L_0^{t,t+s}$
- Difficulty: Dependency on t of geometry

No a priori integrability of $\nabla \rho_t$ & $\Delta_t \rho_t$

\Rightarrow Approximation

† Use \exists of heat kernel & Gaussian (upper) bound

† Show $\text{Ent}_{v_s}(\mu_s) - \text{Ent}_{v_t}(\mu_t) \geq \int_s^t \mathcal{F}(\mu_r) dr$

($\Rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mu_r) < \infty$ a.e. r)

Outline of the proof of Thm1

- Kantorovich duality / Hopf-Lax semigr. for $L_0^{t,t+s}$
- Difficulty: Dependency on t of geometry

No a priori integrability of $\nabla \rho_t$ & $\Delta_t \rho_t$

\Rightarrow Approximation

† Use \exists of heat kernel & Gaussian (upper) bound

† Show $\text{Ent}_{v_s}(\mu_s) - \text{Ent}_{v_t}(\mu_t) \geq \int_s^t \mathcal{F}(\mu_r) dr$
($\Rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mu_r) < \infty$ a.e. r)

† Show $\lim_{s \downarrow t} \frac{\text{Ent}_{v_s}(\mu_s) - \text{Ent}_{v_t}(\mu_t)}{s - t} \leq \mathcal{F}(\mu_t)$

1. Introduction
2. Heat distributions on backward Ricci flow
3. Idea of the proof
- 4. Further problems**

- For W_p ($p \in [1, \infty)$) in time-homogeneous case?

$$\dagger \text{ Ric} \geq K \Rightarrow e^{Kt} W_p(\mu_t^{(0)}, \mu_t^{(1)}) \searrow$$

- For W_p ($p \in [1, \infty)$) in time-homogeneous case?

$$\dagger \text{Ric} \geq K \Rightarrow e^{Kt} W_p(\mu_t^{(0)}, \mu_t^{(1)}) \searrow$$

$$\star \overline{\lim}_{s \downarrow 0} \left(\frac{W_p(\mu_t, \mu_{t+s})}{s} \right)^p \leq \int_M \frac{|\nabla \rho_t|^p}{\rho_t^{p-1}} dv$$

- For W_p ($p \in [1, \infty)$) in time-homogeneous case?

$$\dagger \text{Ric} \geq K \Rightarrow e^{Kt} W_p(\mu_t^{(0)}, \mu_t^{(1)}) \searrow$$

$$\star \overline{\lim}_{s \downarrow 0} \left(\frac{W_p(\mu_t, \mu_{t+s})}{s} \right)^p \leq \int_M \frac{|\nabla \rho_t|^p}{\rho_t^{p-1}} dv$$

$$\text{Ric} \geq K > 0, v \in \mathcal{P}(M)$$

$$\Rightarrow W_p(\mu, v)^p \leq \frac{1}{K^p} \int_M \frac{|\nabla \rho|^p}{\rho^{p-1}} dv$$

- For W_p ($p \in [1, \infty)$) in time-homogeneous case?

$$\dagger \text{Ric} \geq K \Rightarrow e^{Kt} W_p(\mu_t^{(0)}, \mu_t^{(1)}) \searrow$$

$$\star \overline{\lim}_{s \downarrow 0} \left(\frac{W_p(\mu_t, \mu_{t+s})}{s} \right)^p \leq \int_M \frac{|\nabla \rho_t|^p}{\rho_t^{p-1}} dv$$

$$\text{Ric} \geq K > 0, v \in \mathcal{P}(M)$$

$$\Rightarrow W_p(\mu, v)^p \leq \frac{1}{K^p} \int_M \frac{|\nabla \rho|^p}{\rho^{p-1}} dv$$

- The solution to the p_* -heat equation

$$\partial_t u = \text{div}(|\nabla u|^{p_*-2} \nabla u)$$

seems to fit better with W_p . (work in progress)