

# Identification of heat flows

**Kazumasa Kuwada**  
**(Ochanomizu University)**

**非線形偏微分方程式研究会**  
**(2012年3月5-6日 早稲田大学)**

# 1. Introduction

Heat equation on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ :

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta u, \\ u(0, \cdot) = f \end{cases}$$

★  $f \in L^2 \Rightarrow u(t, \cdot) \in L^2$

★  $f(x)dx \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n) \Rightarrow u(t, x)dx \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

## Two ways to characterize a “heat distribution”

- (1) Gradient flow of **Dirichlet energy** functional on  $L^2$ -sp. of functions
- (2) Gradient flow of **relative entropy** functional on a sp. of probability measures

## Two ways to characterize a “heat distribution”

- (1) Gradient flow of **Dirichlet energy** functional on  $L^2$ -sp. of functions
- (2) Gradient flow of **relative entropy** functional on a sp. of probability measures



On  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (or Riem. mfd), based on PDE theory,

(1)  $\rightsquigarrow$  the sol. to the heat eq.  $\Leftarrow$  (2)

## Two ways to characterize a “heat distribution”

- (1) Gradient flow of **Dirichlet energy** functional on  $L^2$ -sp. of functions
- (2) Gradient flow of **relative entropy** functional on a sp. of probability measures



On  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (or Riem. mfd), based on PDE theory,

(1)  $\rightsquigarrow$  the sol. to the heat eq.  $\Leftarrow$  (2)

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{(1) = (2)}$$

Q. What happens on more singular spaces?

Q. What happens on more singular spaces?

- No (second order) differentiable structure
- Both (1) and (2) require only “1st order calc.”
  - ⋈ metric space structure



Q. What happens on more singular spaces?

- No (second order) differentiable structure
- Both (1) and (2) require only “1st order calc.”  
    ↑  
    { metric space structure

Ans.

(1) & (2) coincide on metric measure sp.  
( $X, d, m$ ) where 1st order calc. works well

## Known results

- $\mathbb{R}^n$  [Jordan, Kinderlehrer & Otto '98]
- cpl. Riem. mfd [Erbar '10]
- Finsler mfd [Ohta & Sturm '09] (**nonlinear**)
- Wiener sp. [Fang, Shao & Sturm '09] ( **$\infty$ -dim**)
- Heisenberg gr. [Juillet] (**sub-elliptic**)
- finite set [Maas '11] (**discrete Markov chain**)  
————— ○ ————— ○ —————
- cpt. Alexandrov sp. [Gigli, K. & Ohta] (**singular**)
- geodesic mm-sp. [Ambrosio, Gigli & Savaré]

**Identification of (1) and (2)**



**Properties from (1) + Properties from (2)**  
**(under a lower curvature bound)**

Identification of (1) and (2)



Properties from (1) + Properties from (2)  
(under a lower curvature bound)



Theorem [Gigli, K. & Ohta]

On a cpt. Alexandrov sp. without boundary,  
the **heat kernel**  $p_t(x, \cdot)$  is **Lipschitz** continuous

(Approach only from (1)  $\Rightarrow$  **Hölder** continuity )

## **2. Formulation of gradient flows on Riem. mfd**

$X$ : cpt. Riemannian mfd,  $\partial X = \emptyset$

$d$ : Riem. distance function

$m$ : Riem. volume measure

★ Suppose  $\text{Ric} \geq K$  for some  $K \in \mathbb{R}$

## **2.1. Dirichlet energy and its gradient flow**

$(\mathcal{E}, H^{1,2}(X))$ : Dirichlet energy on  $L^2(X, m)$

$$\mathcal{E}(f) := \int_X \langle \nabla f, \nabla f \rangle dm$$

$(\mathcal{E}, H^{1,2}(X)) \leftrightarrow (\Delta, \mathcal{D}(\Delta))$ : generator

$\leftrightarrow T_t = e^{t\Delta}$ : semigroup

$\Downarrow$

$T_t f$  solves the heat equation

(in the sense of evolution equation)



†

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\delta} (\mathcal{E}(f + \delta g) - \mathcal{E}(f)) = - \int_X \Delta f g \, dm$$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla \left( \frac{\mathcal{E}}{2} \right) (f) = -\Delta f$$

$\Rightarrow$  a gradient curve

$$\partial_t u = -\nabla \left( \frac{\mathcal{E}}{2} \right) (u), \quad u(0, \cdot) = f$$

on  $L^2(X, m)$  solves the heat eq.

$\Rightarrow T_t f$ : gradient curve of  $\mathcal{E}/2$

†  $\text{Lip}(X) \subset H^{1,2}(X)$  dense

Moreover, for  $f \in \text{Lip}(X)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla_d f| & \left( = \limsup_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)} \right) \\ & = |\nabla f| \quad m\text{-a.e.} \end{aligned}$$

## 2.2. Formal Riemannian structure on $(\mathcal{P}_2(X), W_2)$

## $L^2$ -Wasserstein distance

For  $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ ,

$\Pi(\mu_0, \mu_1) \subset \mathcal{P}(X \times X)$ : coupling of  $\mu_0$  &  $\mu_1$

$$\Pi(\mu_0, \mu_1) := \left\{ \pi \left| \begin{array}{l} \pi(A \times X) = \mu_0(A) \\ \pi(X \times A) = \mu_1(A) \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

$$W_2(\mu_0, \mu_1) := \inf_{\pi \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)} \|d\|_{L^2(\pi)}$$

## $L^2$ -Wasserstein distance

For  $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ ,

$\Pi(\mu_0, \mu_1) \subset \mathcal{P}(X \times X)$ : coupling of  $\mu_0$  &  $\mu_1$

$$\Pi(\mu_0, \mu_1) := \left\{ \pi \left| \begin{array}{l} \pi(A \times X) = \mu_0(A) \\ \pi(X \times A) = \mu_1(A) \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

$$W_2(\mu_0, \mu_1) := \inf_{\pi \in \Pi(\mu_0, \mu_1)} \|d\|_{L^2(\pi)}$$

- $\mathcal{P}_2(X) := \{\mu \mid W_2(\delta_x, \mu) < \infty\} = \mathcal{P}(X)$

## $L^2$ -Wasserstein distance

For  $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ ,

$\Pi(\mu_0, \mu_1) \subset \mathcal{P}(X \times X)$ : coupling of  $\mu_0$  &  $\mu_1$

$$\Pi(\mu_0, \mu_1) := \left\{ \pi \left| \begin{array}{l} \pi(A \times X) = \mu_0(A) \\ \pi(X \times A) = \mu_1(A) \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

$$W_2(\mu_0, \mu_1) := \inf_{\pi \in \Pi(\mu_0, \mu_1)} \|d\|_{L^2(\pi)}$$

•  $\mathcal{P}_2(X) := \{\mu \mid W_2(\delta_x, \mu) < \infty\} = \mathcal{P}(X)$

★  $(\mathcal{P}_2(X), W_2)$ : cpt. **geodesic** metric sp.,  
compatible with the weak conv.

## Detailed description of the $L^2$ -Wasserstein distance

$$\Gamma = \{\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X \text{ const. speed min. geod.}\}$$

$$e_t : \Gamma \rightarrow X, e_t(\gamma) := \gamma(t)$$

★  $\exists \Pi \in \mathcal{P}(\Gamma)$  s.t.

- $e_0^\# \Pi = \mu_0, e_1^\# \Pi = \mu_1$

- $W_2(e_t^\# \Pi, e_s^\# \Pi) = |t - s| W_2(\mu_0, \mu_1)$

([Lott & Villani '09] when  $X$ : geodesic sp.)

## More detailed description of $W_2$ on Riem. mfd

$\mu_0, \mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}_2(X), \mu_0 \ll m$

$(\mu_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ :  $W_2$ -min. geod.

$\Rightarrow \exists \varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ : convex s.t.

- $\exp(t \nabla \varphi)^\# \mu_0 = \mu_t,$

- $W_2(\mu_0, \mu_t)^2 = t^2 \int_X |\nabla \varphi|^2 d\mu_0$

[Brenier '91, McCann '95]



## Formal Riem. structure on $\mathcal{P}_2(X)$ [Otto '01]

- Tangent space at  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(X)$ :

$$T_\mu \mathcal{P}_2(X) := \overline{\{\nabla \varphi \mid \varphi \in C^\infty(X)\}}^{L^2(\mu)}$$

- Riem. metric on  $T_\mu \mathcal{P}_2(X)$ :

$$\sigma(\nabla \varphi, \nabla \psi)(\mu) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \langle \nabla \varphi, \nabla \psi \rangle d\mu$$

## “Regular” curve in $\mathcal{P}_2(X)$

$\varphi_t \in C_0^\infty(X)$ ,  $\Phi_t$ : grad. flow of  $-\varphi_t$  on  $X$

$\mu_t := \Phi_t^\# \mu$  ( $\Rightarrow \nabla \varphi_t \in T_{\mu_t} \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^m)$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \int_X f d\mu_t &= \frac{d}{dt} \int_X f \circ \Phi_t d\mu \\ &= \int_X \langle (\nabla f) \circ \Phi_t, \partial_t \Phi_t \rangle d\mu \\ &= \int_X \langle \nabla f, \nabla \varphi_t \rangle d\mu_t \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \mu_t + \operatorname{div}_{\mu_t} (\nabla \varphi_t) \mu_t = 0$$

## 2.3. Gradient flow of relative entropy on $(\mathcal{P}_2(X), W_2)$

## $W_2$ -gradient of Ent

For  $\mu_t = \Phi_t^\# \mu = \rho_t m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \text{Ent}(\mu_t) \Big|_{t=0} &= \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbf{X}} \log \rho_t d\mu_t \Big|_{t=0} \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{X}} \partial_t \rho_0 dm + \int_{\mathbf{X}} \left\langle \frac{\nabla \rho_0}{\rho_0}, \nabla \varphi_0 \right\rangle d\mu \\ &= \sigma\left(\frac{\nabla \rho_0}{\rho_0}, \nabla \varphi_0\right)(\mu) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\nabla \text{Ent}(\mu) = \frac{\nabla \rho}{\rho}}$$

## $W_2$ -Gradient flow of Ent

- $\frac{d}{dt} \mu_t + \operatorname{div}_{\mu_t} (\nabla \varphi_t) \mu_t = 0$
- $\frac{d}{dt} \mu_t = -\nabla \operatorname{Ent}(\mu_t)$  iff  $\nabla \varphi_t = -\frac{\nabla \rho_t}{\rho_t}$

$\Rightarrow$  When  $\mu_t$ : grad. curve of  $-\operatorname{Ent}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbf{X}} f d\mu_t &= - \int_{\mathbf{X}} \langle \nabla f, \nabla \rho_t \rangle dm \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{X}} \Delta f d\mu_t \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \mu_t$  solves the heat equation (weakly)

## Definition of the grad. flow $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$

---

$(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$ : abs. conti.,  $\text{Ent}(\mu_t) < \infty$ ,

$$\text{Ent}(\mu_t) - \text{Ent}(\mu_s)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \int_s^t |\dot{\mu}_r|^2 dr - \frac{1}{2} \int_s^t |\nabla_- \text{Ent}(\mu_r)|^2 dr$$

$$|\dot{\mu}_r| := \limsup_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} W_2(\mu_{r+h}, \mu_r)$$

$$|\nabla_- \text{Ent}(\mu)| := \limsup_{\nu \rightarrow \mu} \frac{[\text{Ent}(\mu) - \text{Ent}(\nu)]_+}{W_2(\mu, \nu)}$$

## Heuristics:

Why does this definition work?

$$\text{Ent}(\mu_t) - \text{Ent}(\mu_s)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{“=”}}{=} \int_s^t \langle \dot{\mu}_r, \nabla \text{Ent}(\mu_r) \rangle dr$$

$$\geq -\frac{1}{2} \int_s^t |\dot{\mu}_r|^2 dr - \frac{1}{2} \int_s^t |\nabla \text{Ent}|^2(\mu_r) dr$$

$$\left( \because \langle u, v \rangle \geq -\frac{1}{2} (\langle u, u \rangle + \langle v, v \rangle) \right)$$

and “=” holds iff  $\dot{\mu}_r = -\nabla \text{Ent}(\mu_r)$

## The condition $\text{CD}(K, \infty)$

For  $\forall(\nu_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ :  $W_2$ -min. geod.,

$$\text{Ent}(\nu_\lambda) \leq (1 - \lambda) \text{Ent}(\nu_0) + \lambda \text{Ent}(\nu_1) - \frac{K}{2} \lambda(1 - \lambda) W_2(\nu_0, \nu_1)^2$$

( $K$ -convexity of Ent w.r.t.  $W_2$ )



## The condition $\text{CD}(K, \infty)$

For  $\forall(\nu_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ :  $W_2$ -min. geod.,

$$\text{Ent}(\nu_\lambda) \leq (1 - \lambda) \text{Ent}(\nu_0) + \lambda \text{Ent}(\nu_1) - \frac{K}{2} \lambda(1 - \lambda) W_2(\nu_0, \nu_1)^2$$

( $K$ -convexity of Ent w.r.t.  $W_2$ )

- When  $X$ : Riem. mfd,

$$\text{CD}(K, \infty) \Leftrightarrow \text{Ric} \geq K$$

[von Renesse & Sturm '05]

## Existence and uniqueness of gradient flow

Under  $\text{CD}(K, \infty)$ ,

$\exists!$  grad. flow of Ent on  $(\mathcal{P}_2(X), W_2)$

starting from  $\forall \mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(X)$  with  $\text{Ent}(\mu) < \infty$

[Ambrosio, Gigli & Savaré '05, Ohta '09, Gigli '10]

( In fact,  $\text{CD}(K, \infty)$  is not necessary  
[Ambrosio, Gigli & Savaré] )

# 3. Identification

We are still in the framework of §2

### Theorem 1

For any  $f \in L^2$  with  $fm \in \mathcal{P}_2(X)$ ,  
 $(T_t f)m \in \mathcal{P}_2(X)$  is a **gradient flow of Ent**

Notation:

$$(T_t f)m =: \mu_t, \quad T_t f =: \rho_t$$

## Goal

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{Ent}(\mu_t) - \mathbf{Ent}(\mu_s) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_s^t |\dot{\mu}_r|^2 dr - \frac{1}{2} \int_s^t |\nabla - \mathbf{Ent}(\mu_r)|^2 dr \end{aligned}$$

- “ $\underline{\geq}$ ” is always true
- Suffices to show:

$$\partial_t \mathbf{Ent}(\mu_t) + \frac{1}{2} |\dot{\mu}_t|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla - \mathbf{Ent}(\mu_t)|^2 \leq 0$$

for a.e.  $t$

## Claims

$$(i) \quad \partial_t \text{Ent}(\mu_t) = -I(\mu_t)$$

$$(ii) \quad |\nabla - \text{Ent}(\mu_t)|^2 \leq I(\mu_t)$$

$$(iii) \quad |\dot{\mu}_t|^2 \leq I(\mu_t) \text{ a.e. } t$$

$$\left( I(\mu_t) := \int_X \frac{|\nabla \rho_t|^2}{\rho_t} dm: \text{ Fisher information} \right)$$

## Claims

$$(i) \quad \partial_t \text{Ent}(\mu_t) = -I(\mu_t)$$

$$(ii) \quad |\nabla_- \text{Ent}(\mu_t)|^2 \leq I(\mu_t)$$

$$(iii) \quad |\dot{\mu}_t|^2 \leq I(\mu_t) \text{ a.e. } t$$

$$\left( I(\mu_t) := \int_X \frac{|\nabla \rho_t|^2}{\rho_t} dm: \text{ Fisher information} \right)$$

Recall:  $|\nabla_d f| = |\nabla f|$  a.e. for  $f \in \text{Lip}(X)$

- Integration by parts  $\Rightarrow$  (i) ( $|\nabla f|$  appears)
- Directional derivative [Villani '09] &  $\text{CD}(K, \infty)$   
 $\Rightarrow$  (ii) ( $|\nabla_d f|$  appears)

# Kantorovich duality

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} W_2(\mu, \nu)^2 &= \sup_{g, f} \left[ \int_X g \, d\mu + \int_X f \, d\nu \right] \\ &= \sup_f \left[ \int_X \hat{f} \, d\mu + \int_X f \, d\nu \right], \end{aligned}$$

where  $f, g \in C_b(X)$ ,

$$g(x) + f(y) \leq \frac{1}{2} d(x, y)^2,$$

$$\hat{f}(x) := \inf_{y \in X} \left[ \frac{1}{2} d(x, y)^2 - f(y) \right]$$



$$\text{Constraint: } g(x) + f(y) \leq \frac{1}{2}d(x, y)^2$$

$\Rightarrow$  For  $\pi \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbf{X}} g \, d\mu + \int_{\mathbf{X}} f \, d\nu$$

$$= \int_{\mathbf{X}} (g(x) + f(y)) \pi(dx dy) \leq \frac{1}{2} \|d\|_{L^2(\pi)}^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} W_2(\mu, \nu)^2 \geq \sup_{g, f} \left[ \int_{\mathbf{X}} g \, d\mu + \int_{\mathbf{X}} f \, d\nu \right]$$

Recall:  $|\dot{\mu}_t| := \limsup_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} W_2(\mu_{t+h}, \mu_t)$

---

$$\frac{1}{2} W_2(\mu_{t+h}, \mu_t)^2 = \sup_{\varphi \in \text{Lip}(X)} \left[ \int_X Q_1 \varphi d\mu_{t+h} - \int_X \varphi d\mu_t \right],$$

$$Q_s \varphi(x) := \inf_{y \in X} \left[ \varphi(y) + \frac{d(x, y)^2}{2s} \right]$$

(Hamilton-Jacobi/Hopf-Lax semigroup)

★  $\partial_s Q_s \varphi = -\frac{|\nabla_d Q_s \varphi|^2}{2}$  a.e. [Lott & Villani '07]

$$\int_{\mathbf{X}} Q_1 \varphi d\mu_{t+h} - \int_{\mathbf{X}} \varphi d\mu_t$$

$$= \int_0^1 \partial_r \left( \int_{\mathbf{X}} Q_r \varphi d\mu_{t+hr} \right) dr$$

HJ  
IbP

$$\boxed{=} \int_0^1 dr \int_{\mathbf{X}} d\mu_{t+hr}$$

$$\left( -\frac{1}{2} |\nabla Q_r \varphi|^2 - h \left\langle \nabla Q_r \varphi, \frac{\nabla \rho_{t+hr}}{\rho_{t+hr}} \right\rangle \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{h^2}{2} \int_0^1 I(\mu_{t+hr}) dr$$



## 4. Extensions

(A) cpt. Alexandrov sp. [Gigli, K. & Ohta]

(B) geodesic mm-sp.  $(X, d, m)$

[Ambrosio, Gigli & Savaré]

★  $d$  may **NOT** be **compatible** with  
the (Polish) topology of  $X$  (but related)

$$★ \int_X e^{-\kappa d^2(o,x)} m(dx) < \infty$$

for some  $o \in X$ ,  $\kappa > 0$

(when  $d$ : **dist.** being **compatible** with the top.)

★ **CD**  $(K, \infty)$  is **NOT** assumed

## Dirichlet energy f'nal

(A) – a.e. differentiable structure

[Otsu & Shioya '94]

– **bilinear** Dirichlet energy (Dirichlet form)

[Kuwae, Machigashira & Shioya '01]

(B) – (modified) Cheeger-type energy via  
**upper gradient** (possibly nonlinear)

## Dirichlet energy f'nal

(A) – a.e. differentiable structure

[Otsu & Shioya '94]

– **bilinear** Dirichlet energy (Dirichlet form)

[Kuwaie, Machigashira & Shioya '01]

(B) – (modified) Cheeger-type energy via  
**upper gradient** (possibly nonlinear)

† Relation between energy density & loc. Lip const.

† **Lip**( $X$ ): **dense** in the domain of the D-energy

† Integration by parts

## Identification under the lack of CD $(K, \infty)$

- Work with  $I(\mu)$  instead of  $|\nabla - \text{Ent}|(\mu)$  in the def. of GF of Ent

- For a GF of Ent,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Ent}(\mu_t) - \text{Ent}(\mu_s) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_s^t |\dot{\mu}_r|^2 dr - \frac{1}{2} \int_s^t I(\mu_r)^2 dr \end{aligned}$$

- The same with “ $\geq$ ” for a GF of Dirichlet energy
- Uniqueness argument in [Gigli '10]



## 5. Applications (under $\text{CD}(K, \infty)$ )

★ **CD**  $(K, \infty)$  for some  $K \in \mathbb{R}$  on an **Alex. sp.**

[Petrunin '11]

★ CD  $(K, \infty)$  for some  $K \in \mathbb{R}$  on an Alex. sp.

[Petrunin '11]

★ CD  $(K, \infty)$  ( $\Leftrightarrow$  “ $\nabla^2 \text{Ent} \geq K$ ”)

$\Rightarrow$  For  $\mu_t$  &  $\nu_t$ : GFs of Ent,

$$W_2(\mu_t, \nu_t) \leq e^{-Kt} W_2(\mu_0, \nu_0)$$

if the Dirichlet energy is bilinear

[Ambrosio, Gigli & Savaré]

(On Alex. sp., [Ohta '09, Savaré '07])

## $L^2$ -Wasserstein contraction for $T_t$

$$W_2(T_t\mu, T_t\nu) \leq e^{-Kt} W_2(\mu, \nu)$$

## $L^2$ -Wasserstein contraction for $T_t$

$$W_2(T_t\mu, T_t\nu) \leq e^{-Kt} W_2(\mu, \nu)$$

⇓ [cf. K.'10]

## Bakry-Émery's $L^2$ -gradient estimate

$$|\nabla_d T_t f|^2 \leq e^{-2Kt} T_t(|\nabla f|^2)$$

## Theorem 2 [Gigli, K. & Ohta]

On cpt. Alex. sp.,

- (i)  $T_t f \in \text{Lip}(X)$  for  $f \in H^{1,2}(X)$
- (ii) For  $\forall f$ :  $L^2$ -eigenfn. of  $\Delta$ ,  $f \in \text{Lip}(X)$
- (iii)  $p_t(x, \cdot) \in \text{Lip}(X)$

## Theorem 2 [Gigli, K. & Ohta]

On cpt. Alex. sp.,

- (i)  $T_t f \in \text{Lip}(X)$  for  $f \in H^{1,2}(X)$
- (ii) For  $\forall f$ :  $L^2$ -eigenfn. of  $\Delta$ ,  $f \in \text{Lip}(X)$
- (iii)  $p_t(x, \cdot) \in \text{Lip}(X)$

- Extension by [Ambrosio, Gigli & Savaré]
- Theorem 2(ii): another proof of [Petrunin '03]
- [Zhang & Zhu]: another proof of Theorem 2(iii) based on [Petrunin '03]