

Duality on gradient estimates and Wasserstein controls

Kazumasa Kuwada

Ochanomizu University

Universität Bonn

§1 Motivation

Equivalent conditions for a lower Ricci curvature bound

(von Renesse & Sturm '05, etc...)

X : complete Riemannian manifold

P_t : heat semigroup associated with Δ

(i) $\text{Ric} \geq k$,

(ii) $d_p^W(P_t^* \mu, P_t^* \nu) \leq e^{-kt} d_p^W(\mu, \nu)$
for some $p \in [1, \infty]$,

(iii) $|\nabla P_t f|(x) \leq e^{-kt} P_t(|\nabla f|^q)(x)^{1/q}$
for some $q \in [1, \infty]$.

Our goal:

**Generalization of (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii), to obtain
a (ii)/(iii)-type estimate from the other one.**

§2 Framework and main result

(X, d) : Polish metric space.

- $(P_x)_{x \in X} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$: Markov kernel.

$$P : \mathcal{B}_b(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_b(X)$$

$$Pf(x) := \int_X f dP_x,$$

$$P^* \mu(A) := \int_X P_x(A) \mu(dx).$$

(e.g. $P = P_t$: heat semigroup)

- \tilde{d} : continuous distance function on X .

(e.g. $\tilde{d} = e^{-kt} d$)

L^p -Wasserstein distance

For $p \in [1, \infty]$,

$$d_p^W(\mu, \nu) := \inf_{\pi \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)} \|d\|_{L^p(\pi)} \in [0, \infty].$$

($\Pi(\mu, \nu)$: couplings of μ and ν)

Gradient

$$|\nabla_d f|(x) := \lim_{r \downarrow 0} \sup_{y \in B_r(x)} \left| \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{d(y, x)} \right|,$$

$$\|\nabla_d f\|_\infty := \sup_{x \in X} |\nabla_d f|(x).$$

L^p -Wasserstein control

$$d_p^W(P^*\mu, P^*\nu) \leq \tilde{d}_p^W(\mu, \nu) \quad (C_p)$$

for $p \in [1, \infty]$ and $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$.

L^q -gradient estimate

$$|\nabla_{\tilde{d}} P f|(x) \leq P(|\nabla_d f|^q)(x)^{1/q} \quad (G_q)$$

for $q \in [1, \infty)$ and $f \in C_b^{\text{Lip}}(X)$,

$$\|\nabla_{\tilde{d}} P f\|_\infty \leq \|\nabla_d f\|_\infty \quad (G_\infty)$$

for $q = \infty$.

ν : Radon measure on X with $\text{supp}(\nu) = X$.

Assumption 1 (X, d) : proper length space.

Assumption 2 (X, d, ν) supports

- local (uniform) volume doubling condition,
- $(1, \rho)$ -local Poincaré inequality ($\exists \rho \geq 1$).

Assumption 3 \tilde{d} : geodesic distance.

Assumption 4 $P_x \ll \nu$, $x \mapsto \frac{dP_x}{d\nu}(y)$: continuous.

Theorem (K.)

For $p, q \in [1, \infty]$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$,

- (i) $(C_p) \Rightarrow (G_q)$.
- (ii) **Under Assumption 1-4, $(G_q) \Rightarrow (C_p)$.**

Remarks

- For $p' > p$, $\begin{cases} (G_p) \Rightarrow (G_{p'}), \\ (C_{p'}) \Rightarrow (C_p). \end{cases}$

(without Assumption 1-4)

- $(G_\infty) \Leftrightarrow (C_1)$ is well known.

(via Kantorovich-Rubinstein formula;
without Assumption 1-4)

- $(C_\infty) \Rightarrow (G_1)$ is essentially well known.

Remark

To obtain (C_p) , we have used some notion of lower curvature bound which is different from (G_q) .

E.g. in von Renesse & Sturm '05,

$$\text{Ric} \geq k$$

↓ coupling method

$$(C_\infty) \Rightarrow (C_p) \Rightarrow (C_1)$$

↓

↓

$$(G_1) \Rightarrow (G_q) \Rightarrow (G_\infty) \Rightarrow \text{Ric} \geq k.$$

Bochner

§3 Hörmander-type operators on a Lie group

X : Lie group with a right-Haar measure ν .

$\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$: left-invariant vector fields
satisfying the Hörmander condition.

$$P_t := e^{tA}, \quad A := \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2.$$

$$|\nabla f|^2 := \frac{1}{2} (A(f^2) - 2fAf) = \sum_{i=1}^n |X_i f|^2.$$

L^q -Gradient estimate

$$|\nabla P_t f|(x) \leq K_q(t) P_t(|\nabla f|^q)(x)^{1/q}. \quad (G_q^*)$$

Known results

- 3-dim. Heisenberg group, $K_q(t) \equiv K_q > 1$
 - $q > 1$: Driver & Melcher '05.
 - $q = 1$: H.-Q. Li '06 / Bakry & Baudoin & Bonnefont & Chafaï '08.
- X : general, $q > 1$: Melcher '08
($K_q(t) \equiv K_q$ if X : nilpotent).
- X : group of type H, $q = 1$, $K_q(t) \equiv K_q$:
Eldredge '10.
- $X = SU(2)$, $q > 1$, $K_q(t) = K_q e^{-t}$:
Baudoin & Bonnefont '09.

Carnot-Caratheodory distance

For $V \in T_x X$,

$$|V| = \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \right)^{1/2} & \text{if } V = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i(x), \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$d(x, y) := \inf \left\{ \int_0^1 |\dot{\gamma}_s| ds \mid \begin{array}{l} \gamma_0 = x, \\ \gamma_1 = y \end{array} \right\}.$$

Proposition

$(X, d, v), P = P_t$: as above.

(i) $(X, d, v; P)$ satisfies Assumption 1-4

(ii) $(G_q^*) \Rightarrow (G_q)$ with $\tilde{d} = K_q(t)d$.

Corollary

$(G_q^*) \Rightarrow (C_p)$ for $q \in [1, \infty]$.

§4 Sketch of the proof of $(G_q) \Rightarrow (C_p)$

Recall:

$$d_p^W(P^*\mu, P^*\nu) \leq \tilde{d}_p^W(\mu, \nu), \quad (C_p)$$

$$|\nabla_{\tilde{d}} P f|(x) \leq P(|\nabla_d f|^q)(x)^{1/q}. \quad (G_q)$$

- The case $p = 1$ ($q = \infty$) is well-known.
- $d_p^W(\mu, \nu) \xrightarrow{p \rightarrow \infty} d_\infty^W(\mu, \nu) \in [0, \infty]$.
 \Rightarrow We may assume $p < \infty$.
- For (C_p) , it suffices to show

$$d_p^W(P_x, P_y) \leq \tilde{d}(x, y).$$

General theory of the Hamilton-Jacobi semigroup

(Lott & Villani '07 / Balogh & Engoulatov & Hunziker & Maasalo '09)

$$Q_t f(x) := \inf_{y \in X} \left[f(y) + t \cdot \frac{1}{p} \left(\frac{d(x, y)}{t} \right)^p \right].$$

- Under Assumption 1,

$$Q \cdot f \in C_b^{\text{Lip}}([0, \infty) \times X) \text{ if } f \in C_b^{\text{Lip}}(X).$$

- Under Assumption 1-2, for $\forall t > 0$, v -a.e.

$$\partial_t Q_t f = -\frac{1}{q} |\nabla_d Q_t f|^q.$$

(Note: $q^{-1}u^q = \sup_{s \geq 0} (us - p^{-1}s^p)$)

Kantorovich duality

$$d_p^W(\mu, \nu)^p = \sup_{f \in C_b^{\text{Lip}}} \left[\int_X f^* d\mu - \int_X f d\nu \right],$$

$$\begin{aligned} f^*(x) &:= \inf_{y \in X} [f(y) + d(x, y)^p] \\ &= p Q_1(p^{-1} f)(x). \end{aligned}$$



$$\frac{d_p^W(P_x, P_y)^p}{p} = \sup_f [P Q_1 f(x) - P f(y)].$$

$\exists \gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X : \tilde{d}$ -min. geod. of const. speed,
 $\gamma_0 = y, \gamma_1 = x$. (Assumption 3)



$$\frac{d_p^W(P_x, P_y)^p}{p} = \sup_f [PQ_1 f(x) - P f(y)]$$

“=” $\sup_f \left[\int_0^1 \partial_t(PQ_t f(\gamma_t)) dt \right] \cdot$
interpolation

$$\partial_t(PQ_t f(\gamma_t))$$

$$\text{"="} \quad \langle \nabla PQ_t f(\gamma_t), \dot{\gamma}_t \rangle + P(\partial_t Q_t f)(\gamma_t)$$

up. grad.
HJ eq.

$$\boxed{\leq} \tilde{d}(x, y) |\nabla_{\tilde{d}} PQ_t f|(\gamma_t)$$

$$- \frac{1}{q} P(|\nabla_d Q_t f|^q)(\gamma_t)$$

$$(G_q) \boxed{\leq} \tilde{d}(x, y) \sigma - \frac{1}{q} \sigma^q \leq \frac{\tilde{d}(x, y)^p}{p}.$$

$$\left(\sigma := P(|\nabla_d Q_t f|^q)(\gamma_t)^{1/q} \right)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d_p^W(P_x, P_y)^p}{p} &= \sup_f \left[\int_0^1 \partial_t(PQ_t f(\gamma_t)) dt \right] \\ &\leq \sup_f \int_0^1 \frac{\tilde{d}(x, y)^p}{p} dt \\ &= \frac{\tilde{d}(x, y)^p}{p}. \end{aligned}$$



§5 Questions

- (i) When does $(C_p) \Rightarrow (C_{p'}) / (G_{p'}) \Rightarrow (G_p)$ occur for $p' > p$?
(OK if X : Riem., $P = P_t$)
- (ii) When does $(C_\infty) \Rightarrow$ “pathwise control” occur?
(in the case $P = P_t$)
- (iii) Relation between Bakry-Émery’s Γ_2 -criterion and (G_q) (in the case $P = P_t$, $\tilde{d} = e^{-kt} d$)
(When does $|\nabla_d f| = \Gamma(f, f)^{1/2}$ hold?).
- (iv) Relation with other “lower curvature bounds” ...