

Laplace approximation for stochastic line integrals

Kazumasa Kuwada

Graduate school of informatics

Kyoto university

§1 Introduction

M : closed Riemannian manifold

$(\{z_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \{P_x\}_{x \in M})$: diffusion process on M

its generator : $\mathcal{L} := \frac{1}{2} \Delta + b$

$X_t(\alpha) := \int_{z[0,t]} \alpha$: stochastic line integral

(α : smooth differential 1-form)

$X_t(\alpha) =: Y_t(\alpha) + A_t(\alpha)$

$Y_t(\alpha)$: martingale part of $X_t(\alpha)$

$A_t(\alpha)$: bounded variation part of $X_t(\alpha)$

$\|\cdot\|_p$: p -th order L^2 -Sobolev norm on 1-forms;

$$\|\alpha\|_p^2 := \int_M |(1 - \Delta_1)^{p/2} \alpha|^2 dv$$

$\mathcal{D}_p := \overline{\{\text{smooth differential 1-forms}\}}^{\|\cdot\|_p}$

★ \mathcal{D}_{-p} is regarded as \mathcal{D}_p^*

$p > 0$ large enough $\implies X_t, Y_t, A_t \in \mathcal{D}_{-p}$

Purpose : To know the asymptotic behavior of

$\{Y_t\}_{t>0}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$

Analysis
for Y_t



- X_t or A_t
- empirical measure $\int_0^t \delta_{z_s} ds$
- homological behavior of $\{z_s\}_{s \in [0, t]}$
- Periodic diffusion ($M = \mathbb{T}^d$)

Known results

Law of large numbers: $\bar{Y}_t := \frac{1}{t} Y_t \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow \infty]{} \mathbf{0}$

(Ikeda '87)

Central limit theorem: $\mathbf{P}_x \circ \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} Y_t \right)^{-1} \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow \infty]{d} \nu_{S_0}$

(Ochi '85)

Large deviation with a rate function I :

$$\mathbf{P}_x [\bar{Y}_t \in \mathcal{A}] \asymp \exp \left(-t \inf_{w \in \mathcal{A}} I(w) \right)$$

(K. '03)

\Rightarrow By the Varadhan lemma, for $F : \mathcal{D}_{-p} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbf{E}_x \left[\exp \left(tF(\bar{Y}_t) \right) \right] \\ = \sup_{w \in \mathcal{D}_{-p}} \left(F(w) - I(w) \right) =: \kappa_F \end{aligned}$$

Problem:

$$e^{-t\kappa_F} \mathbf{E}_x \left[\exp \left(tF(\bar{Y}_t) \right) \right] \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow \infty]{} \quad ?$$

§2 Rate function I and its perturbation

Heuristics on the Varadhan lemma

By the large deviation,

$$\mathbf{P}_x [\bar{Y}_t \in dw] \asymp \exp(-tI(w))dw$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{E}_x [\exp(tF(\bar{Y}_t))]$$

$$\asymp \int \exp(t(F(w) - I(w)))dw$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbf{E}_x [\exp(tF(\bar{Y}_t))] \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow \infty]{} \kappa_F$$

$$\Rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{the limit of } e^{-t\kappa_F} \mathbf{E}_x [\exp(tF(\bar{Y}_t))] \\ \updownarrow \\ \text{behavior of } F - I \text{ near } w \text{ where} \\ F(w) - I(w) = \kappa_F \end{array} \right]$$

For simplicity, suppose

$$F(w) - I(w) = \kappa_F \Leftrightarrow w = w_0$$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla(F - I)(w_0) = 0, \quad \nabla^2(F - I)(w_0) \leq 0$$

(Assume F to be smooth in the Fréchet sense)

$$I(w) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\hat{w}|^2 d\mu^w & \text{if } w \in \mathcal{H}, \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$w \in \Omega \Leftrightarrow \exists \mu^w \in \mathcal{M}_1(M) \text{ s.t. } \sqrt{\frac{d\mu^w}{dv}} \in H_1,$$

$$\langle w, du \rangle + \int_M \mathcal{L}u d\mu^w = 0.$$

$$w \in \mathcal{H} \Leftrightarrow w \in \Omega \text{ and } \exists \hat{w} \in L_1^2(d\mu^w),$$

$$\text{s.t. } \langle w, \alpha \rangle = \int_M (\hat{w}, \alpha) d\mu^w.$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha u := \mathcal{L}u + (\alpha, du) + \frac{1}{2} |\alpha|^2 u$$

$\Lambda(\alpha)$: the principal eigenvalue of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha$

h^α : eigenfunction $\leftrightarrow \Lambda(\alpha)$

$$\bar{\alpha} := \alpha - \frac{dh^\alpha}{h^\alpha}$$

$$\mathcal{L}^\alpha u := \mathcal{L}u + (\bar{\alpha}, du)$$

m_α : normalized invariant measure of \mathcal{L}^α

$$\alpha_0 := \nabla F(w_0), \text{ i.e. } \langle w, \alpha_0 \rangle = \nabla F(w_0)(w)$$

<p><u>Lemma 1</u> $\langle w_0, \beta \rangle = \int_M (\bar{\alpha}_0, \beta) dm_{\alpha_0}.$</p>

$$\text{Proposition 1} \quad L_{w_0}(w) \geq \frac{1}{2} (w, G_{w_0}^F w)_{-p}.$$

$$(\Leftrightarrow \nabla^2(F - I)(w_0) \leq 0)$$

$$L_{w_0}(w) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\check{w}|^2 d\mathfrak{m}_{\alpha_0} & \text{if } w \in \mathcal{H}'_{w_0}, \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$w \in \mathcal{H}'_{w_0} \Leftrightarrow \exists \check{w} \in L^2_1(d\mathfrak{m}_{\alpha_0}),$$

$$\langle w, \beta \rangle = \int_M (\check{w}, \beta) d\mathfrak{m}_{\alpha_0}.$$

$$(2L_{w_0} \Leftrightarrow \nabla^2 I(w_0))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\eta, G_{w_0}^F \eta)_{-p} \\
& = \nabla^2 F(w_0) \left((1 - \Gamma_{\alpha_0}^*) \eta, (1 - \Gamma_{\alpha_0}^*) \eta \right).
\end{aligned}$$

$\Gamma_{\alpha_0} : \mathcal{D}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_p$ bounded and linear

$$\Gamma_{\alpha_0} \beta = du^{\alpha_0, \beta}$$

$u^{\alpha_0, \beta}$: a solution to

$$\mathcal{L}^{\alpha_0} u = (\overline{\alpha_0}, \beta) - \int_M (\overline{\alpha_0}, \beta) dm_{\alpha_0}.$$

Assumption 1 $\exists \delta > 0$ s.t.

$$L_{w_0}(w) \geq \frac{1}{2} (w, G_{w_0}^F w)_{-p} + \delta \|w\|_{-p}^2.$$

$$(\Leftrightarrow \nabla^2(F - I) < 0)$$

§3 Asymptotic behavior near equilibrium

$$J(t) := e^{-t\kappa_F} \mathbf{E}_x \left[\exp \left(tF(\bar{Y}_t) \right) \right]$$

$$\mathcal{A} := \left\{ \|\bar{Y}_t - w_0\|_{-p} \leq \varepsilon \right\}$$

⇓

$$J(t) \sim e^{-t\kappa_F} \mathbf{E}_x \left[\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \exp \left(t(F(\bar{Y}_t) - \kappa_F) \right) \right]$$

By the large deviation for \bar{Y}_t ,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbf{E}_x \left[\exp \left(tF(\bar{Y}_t) \right) ; \mathcal{A}^c \right]$$

$$\leq \sup \left\{ F(w) - I(w) ; \|w - w_0\|_{-p} > \varepsilon \right\}$$

$< \kappa_F$

$\varepsilon \approx 0 \Rightarrow$ the Taylor expansion of $F(\bar{Y}_t)$ near w_0

↓

$$J(t) \sim E_x^{\alpha_0} \left[\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \cdot \frac{h^{\alpha_0}(x)}{h^{\alpha_0}(z_t)} \right. \\ \left. \times \exp \left(\frac{t}{2} \nabla^2 F(w_0)(\bar{Y}_t - w_0, \bar{Y}_t - w_0) \right) \right]$$

$$E_x^\alpha [f(z_t)] = e^{-t\Lambda(\alpha)} \mathbf{E}_x \left[e^{Y_t(\alpha)} \frac{h^\alpha(z_t)}{h^\alpha(x)} f(z_t) \right]$$

$\left(\Lambda(\alpha) : \text{principal eigenvalue of } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha \leftrightarrow h^\alpha \right)$

h -transform

measure	\mathbf{P}_x	\Rightarrow	$\mathbf{P}_x^{\alpha_0}$
generator	\mathcal{L}	\Rightarrow	\mathcal{L}^{α_0}
martingale	Y_t	\Rightarrow	$Y_t^{\alpha_0}$
CLT	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} Y_t \xrightarrow{d} \nu_{S_0}$	\Rightarrow	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} Y_t^{\alpha_0} \xrightarrow{d} \nu_{S_{w_0}}$
covariance	S_0	\Rightarrow	S_{w_0}

$$Y_t^{\alpha_0}(\beta) := Y_t(\beta) - \int_0^t (\overline{\alpha_0}, \beta)(z_s) ds$$

$$\langle S_{w_0}(\gamma^*), \beta \rangle := \int_M (\gamma, \beta) dm_{\alpha_0} \quad (\gamma, \beta \in \mathcal{D}_p)$$

Lemma 2

$$\bar{Y}_t - w_0 = (1 - \Gamma_{\alpha_0}^*) \bar{Y}_t^{\alpha_0} + (\text{remainder term})$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{Y}_t(\beta) - \langle w_0, \beta \rangle - \bar{Y}_t^{\alpha_0}(\beta) \\ &= \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t (\bar{\alpha}_0, \beta)(z_s) ds - \int_M (\bar{\alpha}_0, \beta) dm_{\alpha_0} \\ &= \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mathcal{L}^{\alpha_0} u^{\alpha_0, \beta}(z_s) ds \\ &= -\bar{Y}_t^{\alpha_0} (du^{\alpha_0, \beta}) \\ & \quad + t^{-1} (u^{\alpha_0, \beta}(z_t) - u^{\alpha_0, \beta}(z_0)) \end{aligned}$$

$$J(t) \sim$$

$$\mathbf{E}_x^{\alpha_0} \left[\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \cdot \frac{h^{\alpha_0}(x)}{h^{\alpha_0}(z_t)} \exp \left(\frac{t}{2} (\bar{Y}_t^{\alpha_0}, G_{w_0}^F \bar{Y}_t^{\alpha_0})_{-p} \right) \right]$$

\Downarrow

z_t is strongly mixing

$$J(t) \sim h^{\alpha_0}(x) \mathbf{E}_x^{\alpha_0} \left[\frac{1}{h^{\alpha_0}(z_t)} \right]$$

$$\times \mathbf{E}_x^{\alpha_0} \left[\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \cdot \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \left\| \sqrt{G_{w_0}^F} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} Y_t^{\alpha_0} \right) \right\|_{-p}^2 \right) \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow \infty]{\text{CLT}} h^{\alpha_0}(x) \int_M \frac{1}{h^{\alpha_0}} dm_{\alpha_0} \\
& \quad \times \int \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}(w, G_{w_0}^F w) - p\right) d\nu_{S_{w_0}}(w) \\
& = \frac{h^{\alpha_0}(x)}{\det(1 - G_{w_0}^F \circ S_{w_0})^{1/2}} \int_M \frac{1}{h^{\alpha_0}} dm_{\alpha_0}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\left(d\nu_{S_{w_0}} = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(w, S_{w_0}^{-1} w) - p\right) dw \right)$$

Now remove the assumption

$$F(w) - I(w) = \kappa_F \Leftrightarrow w = w_0$$

$$\mathcal{K}_F := \{w \in \mathcal{D}_{-p} ; F(w) - I(w) = \kappa_F\}$$

\mathcal{K}_F is a nonempty compact set

Assumption 1'

For $\forall w \in \mathcal{K}_F$, $\exists \delta_w > 0$ so that

$$L_w(\eta) \geq \frac{1}{2} (\eta, G_w^F \eta)_{-p} + \delta_w \|\eta\|_{-p}^2$$

for all $\eta \in \mathcal{D}_{-p}$.

Theorem 1 Under Assumption 1',

$\#\mathcal{K}_F < \infty$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-t\kappa_F} \mathbf{E}_x \left[\exp \left(tF(\bar{Y}_t) \right) \right] \\ &= \sum_{w \in \mathcal{K}_F} \frac{h^{\alpha_w}(x)}{\det(1 - G_w^F \circ S_w)^{1/2}} \int_M \frac{1}{h^{\alpha_w}} dm_{\alpha_w}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_w = \nabla F(w)$.

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \text{Assumption 1} \Leftrightarrow \nabla^2(F - I) < 0 \\ \Rightarrow \#\mathcal{K}_F < \infty \end{array} \right)$$

§4 Related results

Asymptotic behavior of X_t and A_t

$$e(\alpha) := \int_M \left((\hat{b}, \alpha) - \frac{1}{2} d^* \alpha \right) dm_0$$

$$\bar{X}_t := \frac{1}{t} X_t - e, \quad \bar{A}_t := \frac{1}{t} A_t - e$$

$$\star \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \bar{X}_t = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \bar{A}_t = 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

$$Q\alpha := du^\alpha, \quad \mathcal{L}u^\alpha = (\hat{b}, \alpha) - \frac{1}{2} d^* \alpha - e(\alpha)$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{A}_t((1 - Q)\alpha) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{X}_t(\alpha) = \bar{Y}_t((1 - Q)\alpha) + t^{-1} (u^\alpha(z_t) - u^\alpha(z_0))$$

$$\bar{A}_t(\alpha) = \bar{Y}_t((-Q)\alpha) + t^{-1} (u^\alpha(z_t) - u^\alpha(z_0))$$

$$\text{LDP: } I^{(T)}(w) = \inf_{T\eta=w} I(\eta) \quad (T : \mathcal{D}_{-p} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{-p})$$

r.v. rate function

$$\bar{X}_t \longleftrightarrow I^{(1-Q^*)}$$

$$\bar{A}_t \longleftrightarrow I^{(-Q^*)}$$

$$F_1 := F \circ (1 - Q^*)$$

Theorem 2 Assume $\nabla^2(F_1 - I) < 0$ on \mathcal{K}_{F_1} .

Then $\#\mathcal{K}_{F_1} < \infty$ and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-t\kappa_{F_1}} \mathbf{E}_x \left[\exp \left(tF(\bar{X}_t) \right) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{w \in \mathcal{K}_{F_1}} \frac{h^{\alpha_w}(x) e^{-u^{\tilde{\alpha}_w}(x)}}{\det(1 - G_w^{F_1} \circ S_w)^{1/2}} \int_M \frac{e^{u^{\tilde{\alpha}_w}}}{h^{\alpha_w}} dm_{\alpha_w}$$

$$(\alpha_w = \nabla F_1(w), \tilde{\alpha}_w = \nabla F((1 - Q^*)w))$$

$$F_2 := F \circ (-Q^*)$$

Theorem 3 Assume $\nabla^2(F_2 - I) < 0$ on \mathcal{K}_{F_2} .

Then $\#\mathcal{K}_{F_2} < \infty$ and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-t\kappa_{F_2}} \mathbf{E}_x \left[\exp \left(tF(\bar{A}_t) \right) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{w \in \mathcal{K}_{F_2}} \frac{h^{\alpha_w}(x) e^{-u^{\tilde{\alpha}_w}(x)}}{\det(1 - G_w^{F_2} \circ S_w)^{1/2}} \int_M \frac{e^{u^{\tilde{\alpha}_w}}}{h^{\alpha_w}} dm_{\alpha_w}$$

$$(\alpha_w = \nabla F_2(w), \tilde{\alpha}_w = \nabla F((-Q^*)w))$$

Empirical measures

$$A_t(\alpha) = \int_0^t \left((\hat{b}, \alpha) - \frac{1}{2} d^* \alpha \right) (z_s) ds$$

$$\Rightarrow A_t(du) = \int_0^t \mathcal{L}u(z_s) ds$$

$$\iota : \mathcal{D}_{-p} \rightarrow H_{-p-1}, \quad \langle \iota(w), u \rangle_{H_{p+1}} = \langle w, du \rangle$$

$\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{L}^{-1}$: Green operator

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{G}^* \circ \iota(\bar{A}_t) + m_0 = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \delta_{z_s} ds =: \bar{l}_t$$

$$\hat{F} : H_{-p+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$$

Apply Theorem 3 for $F(\cdot) = \hat{F}(\mathcal{G}^* \circ \iota(\cdot) + m_0)$



$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-t\hat{\kappa}_{\hat{F}}} \mathbf{E}_x \left[\exp \left(t\hat{F}(\bar{l}_t) \right) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{\mu \in \hat{\mathcal{K}}_{\hat{F}}} \frac{\hat{h}_{\mu}(x)}{\det \left(1 - \nabla^2 \hat{F} \circ \hat{S}_{\mu} \right)^{1/2}} \int_M \frac{1}{\hat{h}_{\mu}} d\mu$$

(cf. Bolthausen-Deuschel-Tamura '95)

Comparison with Theorem 1

Nonexistence of a term corresponding to $(1 - \Gamma_{\alpha_w}^*)$



† Y_t (or X_t, A_t) depends on P_x in its definition

⇒ We need a correction term under the

transformation of measure $P_x \rightarrow P_x^{\alpha_0}$

† The definition of l_t is independent of P_x

⇒ We need **no** correction term